

# Are cats good? An important study

Patrick J Owen<sup>1</sup> and Severine Lamon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Deakin University, Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Geelong, Victoria, Australia

E-mail: [p.owen@deakin.edu.au](mailto:p.owen@deakin.edu.au)

Twitter: [@PatrickOwenPhD](https://twitter.com/PatrickOwenPhD) [@LamonSeverine](https://twitter.com/LamonSeverine)

Received 30/09/2021

Accepted for publication 30/09/2021

Published 30/09/2021

## Abstract

Cats have four legs. Cats can purr. However, science does not know if they are good. Therefore, we sought to determine if cats are good. This was a consensus opinion study between the two scientists. Sensitivity analyses were not considered. Results demonstrated that cats are good. Limited sample size and use of anecdotal evidence may have been limitations. In conclusion, it appears that cats are good. Purr purr purr.

Keywords: cat, good, important, study

## 1. Introduction

A cat is a four legged creature (Cat et al. 900BC). Purring is odd, but most people can just deal with it. Asking too many questions regarding purring is not recommended. No studies have examined if cats are good. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to determine if cats are inherently good.

## 2. Methods

This was a consensus opinion study between two scientists (PJO, SL). Both were asked if cats were good. If consensus was not achieved, a series of egg-and-spoon races were held each Sunday morning until opinions aligned. Sensitivity analyses are a good idea, but beyond the scope of this scientific endeavour.

## 3. Results

Collectively, 100% of opinions suggested that cats were good. No egg-and-spoon races were held.

## 4. Discussion

The current study showed that, when compared to robust study designs, a two person consensus appeared satisfactory for identifying that cats are good.

A previous autoethnographical study by Catlady et al. (2009) attempted to answer a similar question to the current study, yet the authors were only able to conclude that cats were not 'not good'. This double negative was less than helpful and may in part explain why cats do not currently have voting rights in the majority of developed nations.

## 5. Conclusion

Cats are good. Future studies may benefit from asking cats for their opinion.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank their cats.

## References

- [1] Cat, Cat & Cat. 900BC *Journal of Catology* **3** 112-4
- [2] Catlady, Catfriend & Catboy 2009 *Cats & Friends* **5** 14-53