1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS
2	TOPEKA, KANSAS 2003 FCD 11 P 1:25
3	11.25
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
5	vs. ) Case No.
6	) 00-40104-01/02 WILLIAM L. PICKARD and )
7	CLYDE APPERSON, )
8	Defendants.
9	TRANSCRIPT OF VOLUME III OF THE TESTIMONY OF
10	GORDON TOOD SKINNER HAD DURING TRIAL  BEFORE
11	HONORABLE RICHARD D. ROGERS  and a jury of 12
12	on January 30, 2003
13	APPEARANCES:
14	
15	For the Plaintiff: Mr. Gregory G. Hough Asst. U.S. Attorney
16	290 Federal Building 444 Quincy Street Topeka, Kansas 66683
17	For the Defendant: Mr. William Rork
18	(Pickard) Rork Law Office  1321 SW Topeka Blvd.
19	Topeka, Kansas 66612
20	For the Defendant: Mr. Mark Bennett
21	(Apperson) Bennett, Hendrix & Moylan 5605 SW Barrington Court S
22	Topeka, Kansas 66614
23	Court Reporter: Kelli Stewart, RPR, CRR, RMR Nora Lyon & Associates
24	1515 South Topeka Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612
25	

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

			355
		CUF	JY
1 .		•	&
2	INDE	x x	
3	Certificate	478	
4	WITNES	S	
5	ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT	<b>':</b>	PAGE
6	GORDON TODD SKINNER Direct Examination by Mr. H	ough (cont)	356
7		<i>J</i>	
8	EXHIBI	TS	
9	GOVERNMENT EX. NO.:	OFFERED AL	MITTED
10	7 13	364 457	365
11	93	465 467	•
12	94	467	467
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18	·		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	‡		

1 THE COURT: All right. You may be And, Mr. Hough, you may have the 2 3 witness retake the stand. 4 5 GORDON TODD SKINNER, called as a witness on behalf of the 6 Government, having been previously sworn, and 7 testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOUGH: 10 Mr. Skinner, towards the end of yesterday, you 11 Q. 12 were testifying about after you had moved this LSD lab out of the site, the Atlas F site near 13 14 Cairnero to your site, the Atlas E in Wamego. 15 Do you recall that? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And you testified that shortly after doing Q. that, Mr. Apperson came to visit? 18 19 Α. Yes. And when he came to visit, was the ET still in 20 Q. 21 that location, the living quarters of your 22 Atlas E base? 23 Α. Yes. Did he ask about it? 24 0. 25 Α. Yes.

Q. Describe that conversation.

- A. He wanted to know about the boxes that were in the room that had the exercise equipment next to it in the Ellsworth site. He wanted to know what happened to those boxes, where they were and such and such.
- Q. And those were the ET boxes?
- A. Yes. They were not the only ET, inside the lab itself were cans of ET that had been taken out of the wooden boxes and then on the floor that has-- in the living quarters called the control command center were two wooden boxes of ET that had the cans in them.
- Q. And what specifically did he want to know about them?
- A. He wanted to know where they were and he wanted to see them.
- Q. And where did you tell him that they were?
- 19 A. Tulsa, Oklahoma.
  - Q. And why tell him that?
    - A. Because I didn't want to show him anything and that was a long drive away and he wanted to get back to the West Coast, and I mainly wanted to keep anyone from coming in by force into Wamego until I could figure out what to do. That

1 was--2 Ο. Why was that? 3 Α. That was another reason for saying it was at 4 the Looking Glass. 5 Q. Why did you not want him to see it? 6 I didn't want him to see anything until I could Α. 7 figure out what needed to be done. 8 And what were you thinking at that time needed Q. to be done? 9 Somehow I had to go through all the data and 10 Α. 11 see if it warranted me completely turning this 12 thing over to the U.S. Government. Now, you talked about the acquisition of this 13 Ο. ET briefly in Chicago and indicated that you 14 had observed some of that. 15 16 No, I observed the negotiations. Α. Okay. Did you subsequently have a conversation 17 Ο. 18 with Mr. Pickard about what occurred in that 19 meeting between him and the ET man? 20 Yes. Α. 21 Describe that for us. And this was in May of 0. 22 2000, I believe is what you said. 23 Yes, this would have been -- he would have Α. 24 either -- it was that night after the meeting or

the next day. He basically said something

1 like -- the numbers that he told me do not agree with now what I know, but that he basically had 2 3 just made a \$10 million deal. And that doesn't 4 jibe with the numbers that are there, but that's what he said. 5 Now, additionally, you talked about the comings 6 0. and goings at the Atlas F site of Mr. Apperson 7 8 and Mr. Pickard yesterday. And I believe on two brief occasions you being there. To your 9 10 knowledge, was Ms. Kruglova ever there? There were things in the Atlas F site that were 11 Α. personal feminine items like lotions and that 12 kind of stuff in one of the bathrooms. Leonard 13 had said something about she had been there, 14 15 but I'm not real solid on this, so--Okay. Was it Mr. Pickard's custom to have Ms. 16 Q. 17 Kruglova travel with him routinely on these 18 types of things? 19 Α. As time progressed with their relationship, 20 yes, because -- yes, is the answer to that. And did you discuss with Mr. Pickard why that 21 Q. was or with Kruglova why that was? 22 She didn't trust him to not mess around. 23 Α. Now, during the period of time that you 24 Ο. described as attempting to gather your thoughts 25

1 and see if you needed to come in to the Federal 2 Government, ultimately that culminated in you doing just that; is that true? 3 That is correct. 4 Α. 5 And do you recall when it was that actually you Q. entered an agreement with the Government? 6 7 Well, do you mean back to the October 19th Α. date? 8 9 Ο. Yes. 10 That was a -- the agreement was a progressive Α. 11 thing from the 12th stepping on through to the 12 19th when I-- when my lawyer signed it. it's kind of a-- a period of agreement. 13 14 Okay. And at some point --Q. 15 My lawyer and I signed at different times. Α. 16 Okay. And then subsequently to the first Q. 17 meeting or the series of meetings, the first in 18 a series of meetings, getting that worked out, 19 you actually met with DEA and signed a 20 confidential source agreement; is that right? 21 Yes. Α. 22 Let me show you Exhibit 801. Do you recognize Q. 23 that? 24 Yes, I do. Α.

And is that your signature on the document?

25

Q.

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of the
  agreement that you entered into immediately
  prior to your first conversation with the DEA?
- 5 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q. And Karl Nichols was one of the witnesses; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. Now, during-- where did these conversations between you and Agent Nichols, the first-- where-- when did that start and where?
    - A. Sacramento, California. After Thomas Haney had arranged with the Department of Justice that this was real enough, members from Washington, D.C., flew in to Sacramento and agents from the Bay area came in and I drove in from where I was living to Sacramento.
- 19 O. Were there a series of conversations?
- 20 | A. Yes.
  - Q. And at that point in time, where is the LSD lab?
- A. It's absolutely all boxed up in Wamego, Kansas, in military containers.
  - Q. And where was the ET at that time?

- A. The ET was in my wife's step-- I mean my step-my in-laws, sorry, my in-laws house.
  - Q. And when did it get moved there and why?
  - A. I don't know the exact date that it was moved there, but I moved it there to protect it in case someone showed up and realizes the entire LSD lab was there, I didn't want Graham Kendall-- I didn't want Graham Kendall or Gunnar to be facing a serious problem with trying to cough up anything. And I wanted it moved in case-- just for general protection items.
    - Q. During the-- those conversations that you had with the agents, did you agree to make some consensually monitored telephone calls?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

- 17 Q. With whom?
  - A. William Leonard Pickard, Junior.
- Q. And what was your understanding of the purpose of those phone calls?
  - A. They just wanted me to prove that I knew this man.
  - Q. And on October the 19th of 2000, did you make such a call?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 363 Was it recorded? 1 Ο. 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Have you listened to that tape? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. And did it truly and accurately record the 6 conversation? 7 Α. Yes. 8 It was transcribed into a transcript? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And you listened to it -- or excuse me, listened 11 to the tape and compared it against the 12 transcript, did you not? 13 Yes. Α. 14 And was it a true and accurate transcription? Ο. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Subsequently, then, to your knowledge, the transcript and the tape were combined on a 17 18 CD-ROM so that they would track one with the 19
  - other?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you listened and saw that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And it is a correct transcription coinciding with the tape recording; is that true?
- 25 A. The best that can be done with code and

1 language problems, yes. MR. HOUGH: Judge, we would offer 2 3 Exhibit 7, that audiotape. 4 THE COURT: Yes, gentlemen? MR. RORK: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Any objections on this? 7 MR. RORK: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Pickard, no objection. 8 MR. BENNETT: Judge, we have no 9 10 objection to the tape, but if he's proposing to 11 introduce the -- a transcript with the tape, we 12 object to that. 13 THE COURT: All right. The Court will overrule the objection and you may 14 15 proceed. But before we do, let me give a -- and 16 I will overrule your objection. And let me 17 give a cautionary instruction here. Ladies and gentlemen, I have a cautionary instruction I 18 19 would like to give to you at this time. 20 reads as follows: You are about to listen to 21 tape recordings of conversations. The tape 22 recordings were received in evidence to aid the 23 jury in listening to these recordings. Government will furnish the jury with 24 25 transcripts of these conversations containing

1	the date and time of the telephone calls, the
2	names of the purported speakers and the
3	substance of the conversations. You should
4	remember, however, that the tapes are the
5	evidence in this case and that the transcripts
6	are not evidence. You should resolve any
7	discrepancies between the tapes and the
8	transcripts in favor of the tapes. In
9	addition, the names in the margin of the
10	transcripts are not evidence of the identity of
11	the speakers. And that's my cautionary
12	instruction. All right. With that, you may
13	proceed
14	MR. RORK: Well, Your Honor
15	THE COURT: and pass out whatever
16	you want to pass out.
17	MR. RORK: Excuse me, Your Honor, may
18	we approach for a second?
19	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
20	(THEREUPON, the following.
21	proceedings were held at the bench and
22	outside of the hearing of the jury).
23	MR. RORK: Judge, we've never been
24	given a CD and now they've got some technology
25	and they're showing Mr. Pickard's picture and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Skinner's picture on it while they're playing it, and I object to that. There's a tape and they can play the words, but the-- to show a picture of Pickard and Mr. Skinner while they're playing a tape with some technology they haven't shared with us before trial, I object.

MR. BENNETT: Same objection, Your Honor.

MR. HOUGH: Well, Judge, we can-- I mean, if you want to take a recess so that counsel can do this -- my understanding is this is the same thing that happened in the LaVelle Henderson trial in my conversation with Mr. Luedke. You've got the-- as the witness testified, the tape scrolls along with the audio, which is what the courtroom equipment was designed to allow to happen. And as the witness testified, that it scrolls along accurately, the photographs of the speakers appear as opposed to initials of the -- the people or in addition to the people who are the speakers. And it's my understanding it's not unlike any other similar thing that's been offered since the Court has had this

technology.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge, you just got through instructing the jury in the cautionary instruction that the initials or the names that appear beside -- along the side of the transcript are not to be considered by them as identity. And now the -- they -- you're putting -- or what the Government wants to do is put pictures up there of them rather than initials. And I-- I would submit to you-- to Your Honor that that clearly identifies and indicates that -- that this is Mr. Pickard speaking or this is Mr. Apperson speaking or this is Mr. Skinner speaking. And it takes away from the jury the ability-- or I would submit it takes away from them the ability to make their own determination or it prejudices them in -- in making that determination.

MR. RORK: And, Judge --

MR. BENNETT: And it flies in the face of what the Court just instructed them.

MR. RORK: And, Judge, if I can add that technology-- I've been here before when they played tapes, they played tapes on the recorder like they did the other day, and the

jury looks at the transcript. I haven't been provided with this technology and a chance to see how it works to determine the nature of it and if there's going to be words played and--and words said, I don't know, and that's what I object to, this form of technology. I thought they were going to play the audio tapes and then the jury would have a transcript to read along as the tape was being played, not look at a monitor and see pictures and words and other highlights.

MR. HOUGH: Judge--

THE COURT: Who were the pictures of?
That was Mr. Skinner?

MR. HOUGH: Mr. Skinner and Mr. Pickard. And the picture of Mr. Pickard is the same picture that was admitted into evidence earlier in the trial and is in evidence. We used the same photograph so it would not be any prejudicial photograph. The picture of Mr. Apperson that will be used in later recordings is the same picture that is already in evidence regarding Mr. Apperson, so that there would be no prejudice-- you know, no argument, viable argument, of prejudice as to the photograph.

1	And jurors are presumed to follow the Court's
2	instructions, so there's nothing inappropriate
3	about allowing this. The the photograph and
4	the speaker is no different than the initials.
5	THE COURT: He's adding
6	MR. HALEY: "Picture."
7	THE COURT adding a word. I'm
8	going to overrule your objections and let us go
9	ahead with the new technology and I'm going to
10	tell the jury we're apparently the Court did
11	not know you were going to use another new
12	technology here in presenting this, and I will
13	add the pictures.
14	MR. RORK: Judge, just for
15	explanation, I mean does it show words on is
16	that going to show the transcript on that thing
17	there?
18	MR. HOUGH: Yeah. Yeah, the audio
19	and the transcript go simultaneously.
20	MR. RORK: Will you give us a CD of
21	that you are playing here later for us?
22	MR. HOUGH: I can have Karl download
23	one, yeah.
24	MR. BENNETT: Judge, just for the
25	record, I know you're going to make the change,

but I don't want to waive -- I want to make the 1 2 same objection to --THE COURT: I accept your objection. 3 4 MR. BENNETT: All right. 5 MR. RORK: For both. THE COURT: (Nods head up and down). 6 7 MR. RORK: Thank you, Judge. 8 (THEREUPON, the following. 9 proceedings were held at the bench and 10 outside of the hearing of the jury). 11 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of 12 the jury, in presenting this next exhibit here, 13 I have never done this before, we had had-- had 14 pictures on there, too. I'm simply going to 15 read you the last sentence that I read before 16 and I'm going to say you should resolve any discrepancies between the tapes and the 17 18 transcripts in favor of the tapes. addition, the names or pictures in the margins 19 20 of the transcript are not evidence of the 21 identity of the speakers. So you rely on the 22 tapes. But this will be a different method of doing it. All right. With that, you may 23 proceed. 24 25 (THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).

1		MR. HOUGH: Where is the volume?
2		It's coming through your speakers, isn't it?
3		MR. RORK: Greg, is it going to have
4		yellow on everything or just some of it? Greg?
5		Does it show yellow on every line?
6		MR. HOUGH: On the line that the
7		conversation is going. Judge, may we have a
8		ten-minute recess so that the volume can be
9		fixed?
10		THE COURT: Yes, you may. Ladies and
11		gentlemen , let's take a ten-minute recess and
12		we'll come back and try to get the new
13		technique to work.
14		(THEREUPON, a short recess was had).
15		THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
16		gentlemen, I think we're ready to proceed, I
17		find out it was human error, not technical
18		error here now. So you may now proceed.
19		MR. HOUGH: Thank you.
20		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
21	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Now, Mr. Skinner, where did you
22		get the phone number to call Mr. Pickard?
23	A.	He would have given me the number. It would
24		have been a series of just disposable cell
25		phone numbers and it would have been one that I

1 would have had as being able to contact him with. 3 And on the -- or during the conversation you Ο. 4 indicated that you had found a new calling card 5 for him. Can you tell us what that is all about? 7 Oh, it's just-- the advantage was that it was a Α. 8 less number of digits that we had to stroke in, because some of the calling cards just had an 9 10 enormous number of digits that was required. We were looking for fewer digit places. 11 12 During the course of this conspiracy, did you Q. provide calling cards for Mr. Pickard and/or 13 14 others. Leonard, Clyde and myself bought cards for each 15 Α. other wherever we were at. It scrambled them 16 17 up so they could not be found in series. 18 Wherever we stopped, we would buy a series of 19 them and then we would shuffle them together. 20 We would buy them wherever we could. The 21 protocol would change as calling card 22 technology changed. Mr. Pickard indicates that he was with Deb 23 Q. right now. Did you know who Deb was? 24 25 Yes. I would assume that was Deborah Harlow, Α.

- who was the mother of one of-- of his child.
  - Q. Later in the conversation Mr. Pickard indicates for you just to tell him once you're driving.
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Tell me, "once we're driving," within the context of the conversation and your prior contacts with Mr. Pickard, what was that comment about?
  - A. In this particular case, I don't know. It was fractured and in a hurry and I didn't pay much attention to him.
  - Q. Had you planned to meet with him and drive somewhere on a-- prior to October the 19th of 2000?
  - A. Well, we had been planning to-- you know, turn the lab back over to him.
  - Q. Okay. And you agreed then--
- 19 A. We being Leonard Pickard and myself.
- 20 | Q. Okay.
- 21 A. I've got to get rid of these pronouns.
- Q. Now, you indicated at the conclusion of the
  phone call that you would call him back after 6
  o'clock?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And subsequently, then, on October the 19th, were there additional phone calls?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. And as to all of the-- the phone calls that were recorded in this matter, have you, as with the one that we've just heard, listened to the tape?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And also listened to-- or excuse me, listened to the tape and compared it to the transcript that was made of it?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. And was each-- are each of those transcripts an accurate transcription of the conversation?
  - A. To the best that we-- I could determine. There was a lot of blurry spots that-- I mean, the best effort--
  - Q. And they show up as unintelligible on the tape?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. And then they were put into the program that we've just seen?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And the scroll of the transcript coincides correctly with the conversation?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 MR. HOUGH: Judge, we would offer 2 Government's Exhibit 8, which is the tape recording and the subsequent conversations on 3 October the 19th. 4 5 MR. RORK: Again, no objection on behalf of Mr. Pickard. 6 7 MR. BENNETT: Judge, again, we 8 would -- we don't have an objection to the tape, 9 we would have an objection to both the tape and 10 the transcript. THE COURT: All right. I will admit 11 12 the -- the tape and the same instructions to the 13 jury. And you may proceed. Thank you. 14 MR. HOUGH: 15 (THEREUPON, the audiotape was played). 16 Ο. (BY MR. HOUGH) Now, Mr. Skinner, you during the course of the conversation indicate to Mr. 17 18 Pickard, "You need to get me a higher level 19 phone, "and he answered, "Yeah, I got a higher one." What is that about? 20 21 It means it's more recently bought, fewer phone Α. 22 calls have been made from it, received to it. 23 And at this point we wouldn't be explicit, but 24 we're talking about an extremely high level 25 phone because we want no communication -- this

would be almost a singular phone used for just him to talk to me about the specific incidents, even meeting places.

Q. You're not --

- A. Because of the nature of the background of the problems that we had had.
- Q. When you say a singular phone, are you referring to the Cingular Wireless, like Sprint, Cingular?
- A. I mean a singular phone this had-- no incoming or outgoing phone calls had been made to it, that level of security.
- Q. All right. And you asked him to give you a code. What is that about?
- A. The code would be an alphabetized code that gives us numerical systems. There was different-- we would go ad hoc and change the code. And usually we understood each other and we could get these codes down internationally, as we would make the-- the codes would-- we usually could read each other very well. And if we were really worried, we would switch code within code. So it wasn't like we had a code book and we went from it, we would create the codes as we went along. And 90 percent of the

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720 time, we had agreement on-- we understood each other.

23 .

- Q. And during the conversation Mr. Pickard indicates, "Just keep it limited until we see each other here." What is that about?
- A. Two things. First of all, the-- the-- he knows that I'm calling from a secure line, but he's worried about his line. I knew that immediately. And second of all, he's so-- he's so concerned that even a high level phone he doesn't want to talk on.
- Q. So what is he wanting to keep limited?
- A. The conversation to very specific things like where a meeting place is going to be and what we say on the phone. It would be very, very specific, nothing loose, and not even code words for-- not even tremendous code. You know, no specific code words, very loose code words.
- Q. And you indicate in the conversation the spelling L-A-U-T-E-R, the guy who moved from one job to another that doesn't like Terry.

  Who is that person and what's that about, if you recall?
- A. This was a person that moved from Sacramento

1 with a -- some sort of a -- attorney -- they were 2 going from being like an assistant prosecuting 3 attorney or district attorney of that area to an assistant attorney general position in San 4 5 Francisco, I believe, was a job transfer. And why had Mr. Pickard previously asked you 6 Q. 7 about that person? 8 Was interested in Terry Halleran, Hallilan (sp) Α. I can't say his name, sorry. He's the DA or 9 was the DA of San Francisco, was very tight 10 with Pickard and was very pro - let's say - our 11 12 activities. And we wanted to make sure that he 13 was protected. 14 And Mr. Pickard later in that conversation says Q. 15 that he's just about ready to have lunch with you and asked, "When can we do that?" And the 16 17 context of that conversation and your prior 18 conversation with Mr. Pickard, what does that 19 mean? 20 That actually means he wants to physically meet Α. with me. 21 22 Q. And you asked him, "What's your schedule for 23 picking up the car?" And he says he's

available immediately. What is that, "picking

24

25

up the car"?

Car is the loosest word we used for the lab. 1 Α. 2 Q. Later in the conversation Mr. Pickard indicates 3 that you can meet in the Bay area if you want, but you need to like immediately go to Seattle 4 5 or where you need to go. What is that? 6 A. Seattle, there's two Seattles-- I'm sorry. Ιn 7 our code, there were two Seattles. 8 MR. RORK: Excuse me, Your Honor, I 9 object. Wait a minute, Mr. Skinner. Your 10 Honor, I would object to the characterization 11 the Government just made, it misstates the 12 evidence when he said, "You need to go 13 immediately to the Seattle area." The transcript specifically says, "So, huh, we can 14 15 meet in the Bay area if you want. But we need to like immediately, huh, you know, go to 16 17 Seattle or where you need to go." And I would 18 ask that Mr. House quote specifically the words 19 and not characterize it to fit his purpose. 20 MR. HOUGH: Judge, it was not a 21 material deviation. 22 THE COURT: Overruled, go ahead. 23 Α. In our code, there were two Seattles, Seattle 1 24 was Wamego, Seattle 2 would be the Ellsworth

missile base. They specifically referred to

1 the two missile bases. 2 (BY MR. HOUGH) Why Seattle, any rhyme or Q. 3 reason to that? 4 Yes, because it -- we tied -- if we were being Α. 5 listened to, it would give a totally wrong area 6 for anyone to go to. 7 Q. Why call it Seattle as opposed to Albuquerque or Miami? 8 Because Albuquerque would be a problem because 9 Α. we used to have a live lab in that area. 10 11 we would just make these words up ad hoc and 12 stay with them. We communicated quite well, so this was standard ops. 13 14 Later in the conversation you asked Mr. Q. 15 Pickard, "Do you want to fly together?" 16 flying together an issue among members of the 17 conspiracy? 18 Α. Yes, it was not -- unless it was in a private 19 plane, we used-- we did not usually like to fly together. 20 21 Q. Why? 22 We called it -- we didn't want to be any more Α. married in the great computer in the sky than 23 24 we already were, so--

Now, later in the conversation you asked for a

25

Q.

decent phone and indicate the whole universe has got this thing. Can you tell us what you're talking about?

- A. This number had been around for some time, as I remember -- as I remember, and I just knew that this was a loose phone and I wanted to move up to a higher level of phone because we had serious issues.
- Q. Mr. Pickard indicated that in a few minutes he would give you another and you indicate that you would bring it back and get the correct number. In the context of the conversation, do you recall what that was talking about?
- A. Yeah. I was asking for the new number for a higher level phone, and I was asking for it to be given to-- in code. And I think at the beginning of this conversation I set the tone off that I'm looking for a-- a higher level phone in alpha-- you know alphabetized form. I think I remember that being the beginning of this conversation.
- Q. So when you say you want a higher level phone in alpha, you're talking -- that alpha means what?
- A. That means I want to go to a numerical

	i	
1		Cartesian cross-system of getting of a new
2		number as fast as possible. It means I'm
3		uncomfortable with the phone number I was
4		calling.
5	Q.	And were there other phone calls also October
6		the 19th? Did you call back and speak to Mr.
7		Pickard later?
8	A.	Yes.
9		MR. HOUGH: And we would ask, Judge,
10		to publish the next call on the tape.
11		THE COURT: You may do so.
12		MR. BENNETT: Judge, could I just
13		have an ongoing continuing objection to the
14		tapes and the transcripts so as each of these
15		are played?
16		THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may.
17		MR. RORK: And again, Your Honor, I
18		have no objection to the tapes, but ongoing to
19		the transcripts in the manner displayed.
20		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
21	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Mr. Skinner, what was that all
22		about?
23	A.	That was me trying to get communication of the
24		new code for the phone. I was nervous because
25		I had never done this with the presence of

agents and I blew it. Plus, I was just trying to -- we were trying to sync into which system, because India and Indigo are two different systems for an alphabetized numerical system, and I didn't make the cross-connection to nyet being "no" in Russian to German "nine" to give me a-- a space to do a numerical, I was very nervous on this call and should have got this right. Why were you nervous?

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I had two DEA agents sitting next to me. Α.
- 12 What about that made you nervous?
  - I -- that was just an unusual situation, so--Α. and we were in a parking lot and we were in an area where I felt it was unwise to be in. kind of disagreed with where we were doing it from, but -- and one of them was a little uptight because he thought this was nuts or something to be having this kind of a code.
  - And after getting this code, did you make an Q. attempt to translate it and call Mr. Pickard back?
  - Yes, I did. Α.
  - And is that subsequent phone call also on the same tape?

1	A. I believe so.
2	MR. HOUGH: May we publish that.
3	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
4	(THEREUPON, the audiotape began).
5	MR. RORK: Greg, what time was that?
6	MR. HOUGH: 7:42 p.m.
7	MR. RORK: Excuse me, Your Honor,
8	could we stop for just a second?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	MR. RORK: So the record is clear, he
11	had asked him, Judge, if it was on the next
12	tape, and I know Mr. Hough may have been
13	talking about that. The ones I have he just
14	told me that was at 7:42 p.m. and I have phone
15	conversations at 7:15, 7:15, 7:17, 7:22, 7:24
16	and I didn't know if he had just skipped those.
17	MR. HOUGH: We skipped those.
18	MR. RORK: Are you going to play them
19	later or are you just not going to play them
20	later?
21	MR. HOUGH: We were not going to play
22	those. This was the substance, the others were
23	very brief.
24	MR. RORK: I just wanted to make the
25	record clear that there was

1 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. (THEREUPON, the audiotape was 2 3 continued). 4 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Now, Mr. Skinner, on there Mr. Pickard indicates he's not going to discuss 5 6 anything on the telephone anyway. Can you 7 describe for the jury what that conversation is 8 about? 9 That's a-- an unusual statement, which means Α. he's much, much more-- he's much more 10 concerned, he's very nervous about what has 11 12 happened. This is a rare-- the tone of voice is rare and the hastiness with which he's 13 trying to get me off the phone is rare for our 14 conversations. The demeanor was out of the 15 16 ordinary. It means he was uptight. 17 And you indicated that he was uptight about Q. what had happened. What had happened? 18 When Clyde Apperson called him and said the 19 Α. lab -- the lab had been moved and it was 20 21 unilaterally moved and moved by myself, that 22 that made both of them very nervous. Later in the conversation Mr. Pickard says, "I 23 will meet you anywhere and then we'll give all 24

of this stuff over. Okay?" What is in the

1		context of that?
2	A.	New phone numbers and new cards to where we
3		could communicate with voice mail cards and
4 .		stuff.
5	Q.	Okay.
6	Α.	We had been out of touch so this this was
7		maybe one of the very few phone numbers I had
8		for him for some time.
9	Q.	Now, were there other phone calls that day
10		reference to obtaining another number?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	And subsequently, then, was there a a phone
13		call in addition to those that occurred on
14		October the 23rd?
15	A.	Yes.
16		MR. HOUGH: Judge, we would offer
17		Government's Exhibit 9, the phone call recorded
18		October the 23rd of 2000.
19		MR. RORK: Could I get which
20		corresponding
21		MR. HOUGH: N-3.
22		MR. RORK: Well, Judge, I will try
23		and find it before I have no objection to its
24		introduction, so I'll have time to have it in
25		front of me before I the transcript before

1 so I can go along with it. And then the same 2 thing about the prior -- the manner in which 3 it's being shown. I -- I'm looking at the ones that I have been provided, Judge. He refers to 4 5 an N-3. MR. HOUGH: Judge, we printed all of 6 7 those before the start of the trial and they 8 were provided. 9 MR. RORK: I have no N-3. I would 10 just ask if the Government has an N-3, Judge, 11 they can give it to me. I have no N-3 other 12 than the one that was played earlier in the time, if that's the one you're talking about, 13 14 the one that was played to the jury. But that 15 was an audio -- a video recording. I have a recording of October 23rd of the hotel meeting, 16 but I don't have a telephone recording. 17 MR. HOUGH: This is N-3 October the 18 19 23rd, Exhibit 9BBN-3. 20 MR. RORK: And I just -- Judge, I 21 don't have it and haven't had it. The 22 transcripts I have -- the only October 23rd one 23 I have is the one at the hotel, so I haven't 24 had a chance to -- do you have it? MR. BENNETT: 25 No.

MR. RORK: Mr. Bennett doesn't have 1 2 it, either, Judge, so--3 MR. HOUGH: Judge, Agent Nichols copied all of the transcripts for both counsel 4 and they were produced prior to trial. 5 6 MR. RORK: If they could just provide 7 it to us later, Judge, I have no problem with that, but neither one of us have it. 8 I'm going to admit this 9 THE COURT: 10 tape and you can talk to me later if you find 11 that you do not have it. The Government thinks 12 you have it. So I will admit the tape, subject to the objections you've made before. You may 13 proceed. 14 15 (THEREUPON, the audiotape was played). (BY MR. HOUGH) Now, Mr. Skinner, in the 16 Ο. 17 conversation you indicate -- or Mr. Pickard indicates and suggests the Embassy Suites? 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 Ο. And you indicate that the two of you had stayed there at one time. So it was familiar to you? 21 22 Yes, that was a parking lot at a hotel that we Α. 23 knew. How? 24 Q. 25 The traffic is so heavy in that part of the Α.

1 world, and it's difficult for someone that's 2 from this part of the world to realize that 3 sometimes you just have to give up and go -- get 4 off the highway and stay at the closest hotel you can find. And often we would just be stuck 5 6 and we would go into that Embassy Suites. 7 Traffic can be phenomenal. Two-hour backups 8 just to get a few miles. 9 Now, subsequently that day on October the 23rd, Q. 10 there were additional phone calls. Are you 11 attempting to get ahold of Mr. Pickard? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ultimately you got ahold of him around 7:42 and Q. 14 had a conversation that lasted a couple of 15 minutes? 16 Yes. Α. Judge, we would offer 17 MR. HOUGH: Exhibit 10, which evidences that conversation. 18 19 MR. RORK: Well, Judge, again, I had 20 not seen the tape, I have no N-4, N-5, N-6, 21 which are the next three exhibits the 22 Government said -- they are going in line. For 23 the record, I have been given -- all I have is N-- N-1, N-9, N-10, N-14, N-15, 16, 18, 20 and 24 25 And so I would like to have copies of

19.

. 1 these. It would have been nice to have them 2 before trial, but I would also like to have 3 copies now before they're played, Judge. I believe he can go on to some other ones that I 4 5 have, it would be nice. 6 MR. HOUGH: Judge, we've made copies 7 of these. I would suggest that if Mr. Rork 8 were to check his stack of cassettes that are 9 over there against the wall or in other parts 10 of his belongings, he would find them. 11 Additionally, they've been available in our 12 office since March of 2001. MR. RORK: And, Judge, I have 13 14 transcripts that they represent were all the 15 transcripts they have. If the Government is 16 saying, "Well, we don't have transcripts," 17 that's one thing. I've listened to the tapes, but I've asked for the transcripts throughout 18 19 this period of time, I don't have them. 20 THE COURT: Well, is-- is this-- is 21 this another series of phone calls where really 22 no communication was taking -- was taking place? 23 Is that the reason they are set aside or they're not --24

MR. HOUGH:

They were not set aside.

1	These are conversations between Mr. Skinner and
2	Mr. Pickard in California October the 23rd at
3	about 7:42. It's the next in a series.
4	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
5	And I will overrule the objections, I will
6	admit this tape and go ahead.
7	MR. RORK: Judge, can you direct them
8	to provide me transcripts at a break then, over
9	the noon break of these?
10	MR. HOUGH: Judge, it would be the
11	third copy now.
12	MR. RORK: It wouldn't.
13	MR. HOUGH: It would be we can
14	provide that.
15	MR. RORK: Judge, I object to his
16	statement it would be the third copy, that's
17	not correct.
18	THE COURT: All right. Well, I will
19	suggest if the Government has them, try again.
20	(THEREUPON, the audiotape began).
21	MR. RORK: Judge, this is the same
22	tape.
23	AGENT NICHOLS: This is the same
24	tape, I'm sorry.
25	MR. RORK:: Well, Judge, this is the

1 same tape. 2 (BY MR. HOUGH) After that phone call, Q. 3 subsequently on October the 23rd, did Mr. Pickard come and meet with you at this Four 4 Points Hotel? 5 Yes. 6 Α. 7 And in the conversation, Mr. Pickard appears to Q. react unfavorably to your choice of motels? 8 9 Correct. Α. 10 Can you describe, based on your agreement and Q. your relationship with Mr. Pickard, what would 11 12 be unusual about you staying there? 13 Α. Two things. He may have been trying to get me to move around. 14 15 MR. RORK: Excuse me, I object to what he may have been doing. He asked him 16 specifically what it was. Either he knows or 17 18 he doesn't. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. 20 He may have been trying to legitimately just A. 21 tell me where a better hotel was or, second, he 22 may have been nervous that I was in a controlled situation. 23 24 (BY MR. HOUGH) What would make him nervous--Q.

cause him to have this nervousness?

Because this lab -- this lab had been moved 1 Α. 2 without him being present. 3 And subsequently, he--Ο. 4 "Him" being William Leonard Pickard. Α. 5 Okay. And subsequently, then, he did meet with 0. 6 you in the motel room? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And that was recorded? Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 MR. HOUGH: We would offer the 11 audiotape, Exhibit 12, Judge. 12 MR. BENNETT: Was that in 6? 13 MR. HOUGH: This is in 6, yes, sir. 14 MR. RORK: And, Judge, this has been 15 played twice. This exhibit has been played 16 twice to the jury. And for that reason, we 17 would object that it's cumulative as far as the time and other matters. If we can, can we 18 19 approach the bench? 20 MR. HOUGH: Judge, it was played 21 during -- the video during Agent Sorrell's 22 testimony to show why he acted. This is 23 offered now for the truth of the matter asserted to allow the witness to describe 24 25 what's going on here. Different purpose,

different tape, same conversation. 1 2 MR. BENNETT: Could we approach? 3 THE COURT: Yes, you may. (THEREUPON, the following 4 5 proceedings were held at the bench and 6 outside of the hearing of the jury). 7 MR. BENNETT: Judge, I would object 8 to this on the basis that it has been played 9 twice already, once on a Friday -- late on a 10 Friday evening, I believe, and then first thing 11 on a Monday morning. We objected to it being 12 played the second time. The first time it 13 didn't come in as clear as Mr. Hough wanted it, 14 and the second time it was clearer. And now he 15 wants to play it a third time. I object to it, 16 it's prejudicial. It gives undue emphasis and 17 inappropriate emphasis to this particular 18 conversation. Three times is, I respectfully 19 submit, too much. MR. RORK: And, Judge, I would just 20 join in the objection that it's cumulative, 21 22 it's a waste of the jury's time and causes 23 undue emphasis on a hotel meeting. They've seen Mr. Skinner. And the video, Judge, shows 24

physical actions, his feet moving, his hands

moving, physical characteristics that this tape distorts and leaves out because it's not-- and it doesn't show Mr. Skinner's corresponding physical reactions so they can determine some of the credibility and weight to give to it.

And also, I believe that its probative value substantially outweighs the prejudice, one, that they are taken out of context and, number two, making it a waste of their time.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, the first time the tape was offered, it was offered to show why Agent Sorrell did something, it was not offered to-- for the truth of all of those matters asserted, but to show how he formed his opinion and his information that caused him to act.

It's now being offered through Mr. Skinner for the truth of the matters asserted in the tape and to allow him to describe what's going on in the same manner he's described the previous ones. And as the Court will recall, the first time it was played it was inaudible and a mess.

MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge, it gives undue emphasis to this-- this particular-- if he wanted to do what he now wants to do, he could have done it the first time around.

1 MR. HOUGH: Well, Judge --MR. BENNETT: Or he could have put it 2 3 in with Mr. Skinner before he put Mr. Sorrell 4 But to just repeatedly bombard this jury 5 with this particular tape I submit is highly prejudicial and gives undue emphasis to it, 6 7 regardless of what the reason is for which he's offering it or which-- why he's trying to play 8 9 it again. MR. HOUGH: Judge --10 11 MR. RORK: It's 45 minutes. 12 MR. HOUGH: Judge, this is no 13 different than a piece of evidence is seized 14 from a location, you show it to one witness who 15 describes the meaning of it and another witness 16 you show it to to either refresh their 17 recollection or to show that upon seizing it, 18 it caused them to go from Point A to Point B. So in that regard, these objections should be 19 20 overruled. This person was a party to the conversation and can tell us within the context 21 22 of the conversation what is said, what it 23 means.

refresh his recollection, the proper manner of

MR. RORK: And Judge, when he says to

24

1 that by the rules is that the witness reviews 2 the transcript, he asks him if his recollection 3 is refreshed. Don't do it out loud, then you ask the questions that you want. I just join 4 5 in Mr. Bennett's objection as part of Mr. 6 Pickard, also. 7 THE COURT: Well--MR. HOUGH: It's a 37-minute tape, 8 Judge, and it would take us right at the lunch 9 10 hour and it's not cumulative and it's offered for a different purpose now than it was then, 11 12 and it's offered through a party to the 13 conversation that can tell us what the code means and what they're talking about. 14 MR. BENNETT: Judge, he can-- he-- if 15 16 that's what he wants to do, he could -- he can very easily ask this witness questions about 17 18 what's on the tape and what the jury has already seen. It's not necessary to show it to 19 20 them a third time. MR. HOUGH: The rules aren't that 21 22 restrictive, Judge. THE COURT: Well, I'm going -- I'm 23 hesitant to show this thing a third time. 24 25 MR. HOUGH: It's--

1	THE COURT: And the reason I'm I'm
2	hesitant is that it does overemphasize it.
3	You're the one that made the decision as to how
4	to how to offer this, and this is the first
5	time I've ever had a tape offered three times.
6	MR. HOUGH: Well, Judge, the first
7	time it was inaudible and it was a
8	technological problem.
9	THE COURT: Well, I understand that.
10	MR. HOUGH: We had no control over
11	that.
12	THE COURT: I understand that, and we
13	played it again, the next time it was audible
14	in some manner, not not a whole lot, it was
15	MR. HOUGH: And it was without a
16	transcript then.
17	THE COURT: It didn't have a
18	transcript, but now do you have a transcript
19	now?
20	MR. HOUGH: Yes, sir. And it will be
21	played in the same form and fashion as the
22	prior audiotapes have that we've just got
23	through playing.
24	MR. RORK: And, Judge, then if he
25	plays it, then he will ask the questions and

that will be the seventh time it's been played, and then questions. And again, the combined undue influence.

MR. HOUGH: Seven?

MR. RORK: We showed the tape to the officer and then he asked did that refresh his recollection and then he had the officer testify. And now he'll show it to Skinner and ask him to testify. I agree with Mr. Bennett, he can ask him questions he wants to about the meeting, what happened at the hotel, what code was used, what was said and he can answer them to his recollection.

MR. BENNETT: Judge, it's just-this-- it just-- three times and the jury is
going to think this is an awful important piece
of evidence. It's going to unduly emphasize--

MR. HOUGH: Judge, that's what-- for us to stand here and speculate about what the jury may think is ridiculous. The bottom line is-- is that this is offered for a proper purpose through a party to the conversation with a transcript in the same manner that the other ones that we have just seen in sequence have, and it completes the story leading up to

the meeting in Wamego of these three men. It completes the story. And this is a party to the whole conversation that can tell us what this means.

MR. RORK: And--

MR. HOUGH: And this is-- there's no other reasonable way of doing it, and the rules allow this.

MR. RORK: He could have presented the transcript one or both times he did it with the agent who used it to testify about purposes--

MR. HOUGH: The agent's testimony was limited to-- in fact, it was brought up on cross examination to begin with. The cross examination question was to the effect that, "You had no reason to believe what Skinner was saying about the ET," and Agent Sorrell said no, because I had seen a videotape of Skinner and Pickard. Then on redirect, I asked him, "Is this the video? Is this what caused you to have faith in what Skinner was saying when you went in and did this search of the lab?" And he said yeah. And then it was played. So it was played for a completely different purpose.

It was not offered for the truth of the matter 1 asserted at that time. 2 3 MR. RORK: Judge, when it was played, 4 he didn't just play the part about the ET. 5 Again, it's-- it's in evidence and they've 6 heard it. 7 THE COURT: I've never had this 8 question before, but can you not, as they've 9 suggested, go ahead and just question him about it? 10 MR. HOUGH: Judge, it would -- it 11 12 would take a long time, it would be disjointed and it would be without him having the benefit 13 of seeing this in-- the short answer is no, not 14 15 effectively. 16 MR. RORK: Judge, he's already testified that he's reviewed it and he's 17 compared them and he's looked at them. 18 19 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let 20 you go ahead and do it. I will overrule your 21 objection and we're--MR. RORK: Then if he does that, 22 Judge, then he's going to ask questions about 23 them. And then the questions are going to be 24 25 like-- again, like I said, the seventh time.

1 So can he either play one and not ask the 2 questions or can he ask the questions and not 3 play the tape is what I would suggest. 4 Well, Judge --MR. HOUGH: 5 THE COURT: Well, we-- we might as well get it in and find out what the evidence 6 7 is here. And I -- I don't think we're overemphasizing this thing even though we've 8 9 had to play it because we've had an awful time getting it so anybody could understand it. 10 11 that will be my ruling. You may go ahead. 12 (THEREUPON, the bench conference was 13 concluded and the following proceedings 14 were held within the hearing of the 15 jury). You may-- you may 16 THE COURT: proceed. Let me just say--17 18 MR. HOUGH: Hold on, Karl. AGENT NICHOLS: I'm sorry. 19 20 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, 21 you've seen this tape before, but we have this 22 gentleman who was there, so I'm going to allow 23 it in again. You may proceed. (THEREUPON, the audiotape was played). 24 25 MR. HOUGH: Judge, would now be an

1 appropriate time for the lunch break or do you 2 want me to continue? 3 THE COURT: Yes, I believe so. 4 Ladies and gentlemen, let's recess now until 5 1:30. We'll come back and hear further 6 evidence at that time. Mr. Bailiff. 7 (THEREUPON, a recess was had; WHEREUPON, motions were taken up 8 9 outside of the presence of the jury; 10 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings 11 were had in the presence of the jury). THE COURT: All right. You may be 12 13 seated, we're all present. (BY MR. HOUGH) Mr. Skinner, the -- right before 14 Q. 15 lunch we listened to an audiotape. Do you 16 recall that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. On that conversation between you and Mr. Pickard in California, you indicated early on, 19 this is October the 23rd of the year 2000, 20 inquiring of Mr. Pickard, "Is 'C' pretty 21 22 flexible on the schedule?" Who is it that 23 you're referring to? 24 Α. Clyde Apperson. And he says, "No, no, he's on my schedule." 25 Q.

Based upon your relationship with Mr. Apperson and Mr. Pickard, was it unusual for that to be the case, Mr. Apperson was on Mr. Pickard's schedule?

- A. That was a stronger statement than normal, but it was occasionally said.
- Q. And then you make inquiry about an ear viral thing. What is your concern there?
- A. When the lab needed to be torn down and Leonard was in London, he told me that "C" would be there to help me, Clyde Apperson, and--
- Q. Help you do what?

- A. Tear the lab down.
- Q. What-- from Cairnero?
  - A. From Ellsworth, I referred to it as the
    Ellsworth site, I refer to it that way. And
    that "C" would help me. And when I tried to
    reach out through the communication network to
    get ahold of "C," I was not successful and I
    went ahead and unilaterally handled the issue.
    When the "C" showed up, he told me during the
    time that I was moving the lab he couldn't even
    stand up. He would fall to the ground he was
    so dizzy from this inner ear viral infection.
    And I was told by two different sources--

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

1 Q. By whom? 2 Α. By Clyde. 3 MR. BENNETT: Well, now, Judge, I'm going to object. 4 5 Α. And by --6 MR. BENNETT: Just a minute, I'm 7 going to object to what he was told by two different sources, it's hearsay. 8 9 MR. HOUGH: Judge, the objection was 10 premature. I asked the witness by who, and he answered while Mr. Bennett was objecting one by 11 12 Mr. Apperson. He didn't get to who the second 13 one was. So he hadn't gotten to that point 14 yet. 15 THE COURT: All right. Tell us who 16 the second one --Mr. Pickard. 17 Α. 18 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Okay. Both of them said that Clyde Apperson had had 19 Α. 20 an inner ear infection that was viral and that 21 he needed to be hospitalized for this 22 condition. And it was during the same time frame? 23 Q. Approximately during the same time frame, 24 Α. 25 because Clyde told me, he said, "I couldn't

have done anything." He said, "Had my body been here, I would have been-- I was just too incapacitated to do anything."

THE COURT: The objection will be overruled. I didn't get a chance to do that. But you may go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Now, during this conversation, you asked Mr. Pickard for how long you're going to run this one until you're in Europe. And what were the plans about Europe at that point, October 23rd, 2000?
- A. To get the quadplex, the four station to-which would theoretically yield three to four
  times the amount of production, up and running
  somewhere in the Netherlands using the De La
  Have Corporations and any other corporations of
  the Kaanen family and/or friends of the Kaanen
  family controlled to buy labware, precursors.
  And it was a constant thing about who would
  supply the space. That was never determined
  and-- to this day never was determined.
- Q. During this conversation, Mr. Pickard makes a comment in response to your question about doing it in another facility that he wanted it nice and quiet and way out there. Was that

ļ	
	something common with where you and Mr. Pickard
	and Apperson wanted the lab stationed when it
	was operable?
	MR. RORK: Your Honor, again, I would
	just interpose an objection. He can ask him
	what was that about. But I object to him
	asking leading and suggestive questions of what
	he wants it to be about.
	THE COURT: Well, I will sustain
	that. Make it less leading, please.
A.	Before that, could you do I have this
	transcript in front of me?
	MR. RORK: Well, Judge.
Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Let's just without referring
	to any documentation.
A.	Okay.
Q.	During the course of the the transcript that
	was played and the tape that we heard, Mr.
	Pickard made the comment that he wanted a place
	that was nice and quiet and way out there. And
	based upon your relationship with Mr. Apperson
	and Mr. Pickard, was that common?
A.	Very much so. Leonard was even bothered to be
	within six, seven blocks of a minor highway
	because of the sound off of the streets. Also,
	Q. A. Q.

he was concerned about any neighbors because of the problem he had had in Mountain View where that lab was busted. And he was very concerned about anyone being close by because of odors. And there was also an LSD lab busted in England many years ago because they got the downstream contaminants of a little thin tube. So he wanted to have plenty of places to get rid of the disposable waste items of which I've spoken about in these tapes.

- Q. You indicate to Mr. Pickard that you dumped a bunch of money and the place was totally sterilized. What were you describing there?
- A. That we had-- that Gunnar, Lupe, Mike Hobbs, myself and then the people that we turned it over to being Ed Peden and whoever worked with him, I can't give you their names, this is when we were forced to turn it over, had thoroughly cleaned the place up and, you know, removed any contaminant evidence.
- Q. Mr. Pickard made the statement that he had a bunch of stuff to throw away and you indicated you had a special place where "C" had liquids he wanted to throw away. Can you tell us what that means?

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α. Yes. They had been accumulating some liquids, and these would be incriminating liquids if they were found. My opinion was that they should be capped and put into a safe place there was always an argument - to where these would never be released into the environment. They had less of a concern for the environment than I did. And what I wanted was I had a fresh well that had been drilled that then we could put concrete down on the bottom, capping it off, put another material off and then pour the liquids down there and then concrete that, cover it over, and there would never be a way of finding that evidence.

- Q. And during the conversation that Mr. Pickard indicated he's more concerned about the trash, and you indicated, "The trash, 'C' told me to get rid of it." What is that about?
- A. "C" told me anything that was double bagged I could go ahead and get rid of and dispose of.

  The reason I said that it had already been gotten rid of was I did not know in the sneak and peak what had happened. And I thought there was a chance that they may have removed the trash. So that's why I went ahead and told

1 Leonard the trash was gone, because I didn't 2 know the -- if the trash was going to be there 3 or not. 4 Q. And when-- who had removed it? 5 Α. The DEA. 6 Ο. Okay. 7 MR. RORK: Well, excuse me, Your Honor, just for the record, we're talking about 8 9 the October 23, 2000 tape that they just played for--; is that correct? 10 11 MR. HOUGH: That's correct. 12 MR. RORK: Okay. (BY MR. HOUGH) And you indicated in-- during 13 Q. 14 the conversation with Mr. Pickard that, "That liquid stuff that 'C' was talking about, please 15 16 go and properly dispose of that." Describe that. 17 Well, in the past, they had not done proper 18 Α. 19 disposal of liquids and there had been some 20 problems with this. This is quite toxic 21 material, this is environmentally damaging material. And I didn't want any of this stuff 22 23 leaking out and being a problem anywhere 24 whatsoever.

And had "C" made some comment about liquid

25

Q.

stuff relative to that previously?

- A. Well, he had said that he needed to dispose of the liquids and he hoped that I, in the moving of the lab, had not disposed of those because he wanted to see them disposed of, unlike the trash, which he said, "Get rid of. I trust you. You're as capable as I am of getting rid of that trash."
- Q. And based upon your relationship with Mr.

  Apperson, why would he want to see you dispose of the liquid waste?
- A. Because I think it shows a-- it's a-- much more of an evidence because there should be-- there would probably be active lysergamides, iso-LSD, LSD in trace amounts in this liquid. And also, there's a possibility that they wanted to reclaim-- Leonard had long claimed that there was some of the liquids that had value, great value to them. And "C" was making sure I hadn't thrown any liquids away.
- Q. During this same conversation, Mr. Pickard indicated that he was in the middle of a giant hurry and what he would like to do was to go out, set up and leave it there for a while.

  What were you talking about with him there?

- 1 Α. We were talking about set the lab up and then 2 we let it go cold, standard procedure that you 3 will see, as you've been talking. We set up, we let it sit. We tear down, we let it sit. 4 5 Before we move, we let it sit. And then when 6 we move it, we let it sit again and we set up. 7 And the purpose of letting it sit is what? Q. 8 Α. To see if we were hot and to just kind of--9 also, it's strenuous.
  - Q. And you began during that same conversation, shortly thereafter, complaining about the cleanup and indicated that, "You guys had left me hanging on that thing, I was appalled when I went into that F." And Mr. Pickard indicated, "I suppose you saw the end of a work period."

    Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what did he mean, "the end of a work period?"
  - A. First of all, when I'm saying, "went into that F," I'm referring F as being the name of an Atlas F. So I wasn't being vulgar there.

    Secondly--
- Q. Was there more than one?
- A. There-- of those Atlas Fs?

- Q. That this lab was at.
- A. No, no. But I mean the military had 18-- I

  don't remember the number, 12 Atlas Fs and nine

  Atlas Es.
  - Q. And this lab was ever only in one?
  - A. Only in one.
- 7 Q. Okay.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. So I wanted to describe that and then to further answer your question is-- could you repeat?
- Q. "I suppose you saw the end of a work period."

  Describe for me for what means.
  - A. Okay. This is where probably in this case, I don't know, but I wasn't around, but maybe--
  - Q. What did you see?
  - A. Trash that was taller than me in bags in a compact area. And water that was more than an inch deep flowing through and residue inside of that. And then as you walked on into the inner sanctum of the lab itself, which was behind a very secure blast door, it was the biggest mess you could imagine. There was powder and chemicals everywhere. This was an incredibly contaminated site. Had someone found this, they would have been very, very damaged how

- 1 they opened it. They may have died had they walked in there. 2 3 For what reason? Ο. 4 Because of overexposure to all the highly Α. 5 active lysergamide and LSD. The end of a work period -- a work period within 6 Q. 7 the manufacture of LSD would be what? A 10- to 14-day period for production. I don't 8 Α. 9 know in this case if they ran it back-to-back, if they both were there running a double 10 operation, if one -- if they were tag-teaming to 11 12 get production out, I do not know. 13 Q. During the conversation later, you indicate that there is another base and you indicate 14 15 it's under another one of the trusts. What are 16 you talking about? This is total fiction. I had to give them an 17 Α. 18 idea that I had a backup plan for the Looking 19 Glass. 20 You indicated that you had a proper firewall. Q. 21 What are you talking about there? 22 That if it was a trust or a corporation that Α.
  - A. That if it was a trust or a corporation that owned it, it would be more than arm's length away from me and no one would know it was me.

24

25

Q. And you indicated that it was very safe, Mr.

Pickard indicated he didn't know whether he 1 2 needed to jump up and run in three months or not. Can you describe for us what that meant 3 in the context of the conversation? 4 5 He did not like to have to move around, he did Α. 6 not like to have to change lab spaces. And the 7 tear-downs and building up of the lab was something that was stressful for all involved. 8 And if we had to move in 90 days, "we" being 9 10 Apperson, Pickard and myself, that would be 11 very difficult. And you indicated that Mr. Pickard would set up 12 Ο. and then wait until the baby delivers and then 13 14 Mr. Pickard says, "I will come back and do one 15 or two." What's he talking about there? 16 That would be one or two runs. Α. 17 A run being what? Q. 18 Basically one or two kilos, depending on the Α. 19 combination of how far along they are and what 20 was sitting in the pipeline. 21 Q. Okay. You indicated that you were under 22 super-charged mode during this period of time 23 that you were moving the lab out of the Atlas 24 What were you referring to super-charged F. 25 mode?

- A. Meant that I got no rest, sleep or anything, because I was having to work 24 hours a day.
  - Q. And you indicated that if you were going to Europe, was there anything that you could do over there?
- A. That--

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And what were you referring to?
- A. Anything to help acquire a site, anything needed for Ivo (spelled phonetically) to-- or to go to Joris Kaanen for a supply list. Did I need to negotiate with them, did I need to further explain to them that the knock-off Viagra project was for real, just to secure ties. Or also pick up money. There was money left over in lockers in Europe, and to pick up any bills that were owed over there, just general things, cleanup.
  - Q. And did you have any idea at the time how much money or an estimate of how much money there was in the lockers in Europe?
- A. No. I mean, I know that one of them I think had like \$100,000 in Dutch Guilder and I just don't know how much more.
- Q. How many did you know existed?
- 25 A. Oh, a couple. And I-- just a couple.

- Q. Okay. You indicated -- or Mr. Pickard indicated to you that if you were going over, "Do handle that stuff and then I will mail it to him."

  What's he talking about?
  - A. I would have to--

- Q. "If you're going to go over to Europe, do handle that stuff and then I will mail it to him."
- A. It would be meeting with Ivo and telling we're ready to go on this project, because I thought this had already been mailed, e-mailed or mailed. And it meant go ahead and start the process going for the quadplex.
- Q. And you indicated, "If he doesn't buy the story, we've got problems." What are you talking about?
- A. Ivo would not have accepted being-- or Ivo would not have accepted-- Ivo Kaanen would not have accepted being involved in a worldwide LSD conspiracy. If he would have gotten a whiff of this being that kind of a deal, he would have backed out of the situation.
- Q. You indicated-- or you asked Mr. Pickard, "Did you--"
- A. Secondly-- I didn't finish. Also, we had no

- source for glassware and standard chemicals if

  Ivo and Joris backed out. Sorry.
  - Q. And you asked Mr. Pickard if he had done a lot of good data and he said-- answered, "He didn't ask, he just went for it." What are you talking about there?
  - A. Talking about the fictitious story in order to get the equipment brought in and the necessary chemicals to do knock-off Viagra, which was really going to be an LSD lab.
  - Q. So it was represented to these individuals in Europe that they would be funding and setting up a knock-off Viagra lab?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. And Mr. Pickard indicated, "If we can do some of those boys over there, they can do an awful lot." And what is he talking about?
- A. Well, now we're talking about if there was a lab there that we could do tremendous production of LSD and sell it, because they're-- the appetite for the European distribution was growing at just an amazing speed.
- Q. How did you know that?
- A. Because of the amount of foreign currency that

1 was coming in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you asked, "You mean buying or--" and Mr.

  Pickard says, "Buying." And then you ask,

  "Did, uh, is the ET doing good?" What are you talking about?
  - A. Well, we-- we were in the process of-- a big order was put in with the ET man for a large delivery of ET, and it was supposed to be accumulating.
- 10 Q. How much?
  - A. 400 kilograms.
  - Q. And so 400 kilograms of ET accumulating and waiting for you all in Europe would have--
    - A. On the border of Germany and the Netherlands.
      - Q. If that would have been used in this LSD lab equated to how much LSD?
      - A. Depending on how successful the conversion was, but it-- in the worst case circumstance, you would have gotten 100 kilograms of LSD. And in the best case circumstance, about 180 kilograms of LSD. So you're-- multiply that number times approximately \$3 million. So between 300 million on up dollars worth of LSD at our sales value.
      - Q. That would have been at your wholesale rate?

- A. That would have been the money that we would have received.Q. "We" being who?
- A. Leonard Pickard and Clyde Apperson and myself.
- Q. So the pot of gold at the end of the rainbow for you was this \$300 million deal?

MR. RORK: Your Honor, I object to counsel's characterization. He can ask him what the questions are, but I object to characterizations.

THE COURT: Well, overruled.

- A. My long-term payoff to me was going to be-has-- has been laughed about, that we had
  multiple forms of accounting, but I was
  promised a \$10 million initial-- once things
  were rolling in Europe just flat transfer of
  cash, just for getting it up and going. And
  then we would have started splitting money from
  there on in.
- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) And how much were Mr. Apperson and Mr. Pickard discussing in that regard?
- A. I have no idea.
- Q. Later in that conversation, you indicate that your intuition tells you that you're living on borrowed time, and Mr. Pickard indicates he's

1 feeling pretty good these days because we don't 2 do anything but maybe once or twice a year. 3 What's he talking about? Α. I don't understand that one. I think that in 4 5 this--6 MR. RORK: Well, Judge, I object to 7 what he thinks, he just said he didn't 8 understand. I object to any speculation. 9 MR. BENNETT: Same objection, Your 10 Honor. 11 MR. HOUGH: He can indicate what he thinks in the context of this conversation was 12 being discussed. He was a party to the 13 14 conversation. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. There's-- there seems to be-- in this tape he's 16 Α. 17 moving back and forth from being comfortable with me to not being comfortable with me. And 18 19 that's-- and I don't know what-- it's hard, 20 that's why I wanted the transcript, because he 21 keeps moving back and forth in his normal mode 22 with me to a distant mode with me. And I think 23 he was a little bit confused about how he felt 24 long-term about doing operations with me. 25 Q. During the course of the conversation, you

indicate, "Well, I'm not uptight." And Mr.

Pickard responds, "Do you know why, because we don't do anything but maybe once or twice a year." And you say, "Well, well," and then he says, "Once or twice a year, couple of weeks, big deal. Let's see, what else is new? What else is interesting?" And then he starts talking about the checks.

With that background and context from the transcript, does it make sense?

- A. What do you mean does it make sense?
- Q. Once or twice a year, couple of weeks, big deal.
- A. No, it doesn't make sense.
- Q. Then he begins talking with you about the Bank of America checks. What was that about?
- A. These were two checks, one that he purchased from me in the earlier part of the year. That I believe was made to Venlo or Venrod out to Venlo or Venrod Trading, they were two different entities. One was a Pan American-- a Pan-- a Panamanian trust/corporation and the other one was some sort of a holding facility somewhere in the Channel Islands or somewhere in Europe, it wasn't made clear to me. I was

interested in knowing exactly where that account was. And this is when I was at Natasha's apartment in the early part of let's say March or April of 2000, Leonard wanted to buy a check, and I cannot remember what the value of the check was, between 176,000 and 196,000.

He wanted to buy this check off of me.

And it was made-- I don't remember who the remitter was, I do remember it was either

Venrod or Venlo. And I wanted a concentrated currency and he gave me as many thousand

Canadian dollar bills that he had. And then he paid the rest off in Guilder and and just a small amount of U.S. money, because for some reason I was low on U.S. currency, so I took small amount of it in U.S. currency.

- Q. And where had you gotten these checks that he purchased?
- A. This check, this one check that he purchased, I had gotten a check that had a number of like \$190 or \$176 or something, but the check was actually a fake check that was forged and completely counterfeited and was given to him.
- Q. You did that?

1 No, I had it done. I was there and I did some Α. 2 of the technical parts of it. 3 Q. Was it unusual during the course of your 4 conspiracy with Mr. Apperson and Mr. Pickard to 5 do that type of thing, steal money? Α. 6 Yeah, it was a standard operation. Usually, 7 though, this one had an unusual aspect to it. 8 I wanted to see where it hit, and I knew that 9 bank authorities would go wild with this. 10 Usually I had very advanced repo where I would get the check back once it had been given to 11 12 him. And I was--13 Q. Well, describe that. What do you mean by check 14 repo? 15 MR. RORK: Well, excuse me, Your I would ask that he be allowed to 16 Honor. 17 finish his question about what this unusual 18 situation was before the Government goes off in another area. 19 I think that's what he's 20 THE COURT: 21 asking him about. Overruled. 22 So normally what would happen is that a check Α. 23 would be given or it would be apparently

> NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

deposited in some area. And what would really

happen is -- is let's take a real simple

24

situation. I want an AMEX bill paid for \$18,234. And so he would give me the money, I would have the check, it could be as elaborate as the corporation or the trust in Tulsa sent a FedEx package, I opened the FedEx package up, there was a check. And then he would put it into a yellow envelope, write on it, and he would—he had a very specific style. He would put the check in there, he wanted to make sure that it was put in the box himself. But what he didn't realize was that I had a FedEx package that had the same yellow envelope and slipped it right in there, took the other check right back and put it in there.

- Q. So you stole the checks?
- A. Oh, yes, I called them repurchasing or repo'ing, sorry, not repurchasing. I repo'd the checks back, stole them, whatever you want to call it.
- Q. And did Mr. Pickard ever find out that you were doing that?
- A. Oh, I suspect that during this tape here he was-- knew that the check that he had bought had hit that bank and was either-- the bank was upset and was trying to get information from

- him because of the nature of the fraud or he knew that there was a fraudulent check that had hit the system.
  - Q. You and Mr. Pickard-- later in the discussion Mr. Pickard indicates about setting up an IBC. What is that?
  - A. That's just an off-shore bank account that is supposed to be arm's length and can be controlled to launder money through and do special things with and be used to handle such.
  - Q. Mr. Pickard indicated in the conversation that he follows the money laundering legislation.

    Were you familiar with him doing that prior to this conversation?
  - A. Oh, yes. I followed it, too.
- Q. What was the purpose?

A. Well, he was following it because he was trying to get around the laws. And then he also claimed he was following it because he was cutting a deal with the Senate subcommittee or the Treasury to create this off-shore credit card company or debit card company is what it really was going to be. And he was going to turn in lesser people, to let them get busted so he would get brownie points while laundering

vast amounts of his own money through the system.

Q. Okay.

- A. And then he also thought it was just a good business plan, that it would grow nice and fast.
- Q. Mr. Pickard later indicates that, "If the money was straight," which presumably money is, "you can loan that money to me to do an e-commerce startup." Describe for us what this is. Is that what he's talking about?
- A. Yes, this is--

MR. RORK: Well, Judge, excuse me, wait a minute. I would ask for a foundation. Mr. Hough gave his explanation for what he wanted, quote, money straight to be. I would ask that he allow this witness to give his explanation for what-- he's the one that had the conversation, not Mr. Hough, and I would ask this witness to give his explanation for what that meant, the money being straight, versus Mr. Hough's.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, I believe-THE COURT: Ask him, let's find out

about it.

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Do you recall the question?
  A. Yes.
  - Q. Can you describe it for us?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Straight money would be that it was either accumulated in a corporation and legitimate funds or was post-tax legitimate funds from a corporation made from legitimate operations.

  And that the money was totally clean and there wasn't any tainted part to it at all.
- Q. Well, how did you and Mr. Apperson and Mr. Pickard access that kind of money?
- A. Well, I had the ability through Gardner Industries to divert money from Gardner Industries into operations like that.
- Q. Mr. Pickard indicates that, "You could claim it as a loan, your loan to me for the credit card thing." Describe what that is.
- A. That was for this huge amount of expenses he had built up on a platinum American Express card paid by the corporation.
- Q. Do you recall how much that was?
- A. No, I mean, it was-- I think his part of it was-- I believe his part of it was between 47,000 and 80,000.
- Q. What did he--

- A. This was for about a 60-day period.
- 2 | Q. 60-day?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. 60-day period, 45-day period.
- Q. And what was going on during that 45 to 60 days that caused him to spend \$47,000 plus?
  - A. He was trying to get married and he was just trying to get tickets. Those were tickets that he was trying to buy.
  - Q. What kind of tickets?
  - A. Like going to Europe, going to Hawaii, going to the Caribbean, and floating around everywhere.

    And Natasha had to go to Washington, D.C., to try and get her Visa/passport, anything that she could travel on. They were having a hard time finding a venue that would accept them for marriage.
  - Q. Well, you're from the Tulsa area, why didn't you just suggest Miami, Oklahoma? They have wedding chapels there, don't they?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Why did you not suggest something simple like that?
  - A. Well, he wanted an elaborate wedding that cost an absolute fortune, far beyond those credit cards. They had helicopters hovering 1,000

1 feet above waterfalls and multiple helicopters 2 as they're prenups and the nuptials were done 3 in Hawaii. I was not there. Did he --4 Q. 5 There's a video of this. Α. 6 I'm sorry? Q. 7 Α. There's a video of this. 8 Q. Did he indicate to you what that had cost him? 9 Α. No, he just said it was a chunk of money. Mr. Pickard later in this conversation 10 0. indicated that he was going to write you a nice 11 letter of thanks and that he would also write 12 an additional nice letter of thanks for the 300 13 grand. What is that about, the letters of 14 15 thanks? 16 Α. Well, to somehow explain why I'm picking up his There had to be-- and 17 bills under his name. I've been looking for one of these letters for 18 19 more than a year-and-a-half, two years. 20 Q. And why was that letter important? 21 So that it could show that I had paid his Α. 22 bills, but it was debt being racked up on my 23 side so that he wouldn't have to pay income tax 24 on that.

Did either you, Mr. Apperson, Mr. Pickard worry

25

Q.

- about, to your knowledge, paying income taxes on these drug proceeds?
- A. No.

- Q. Now, you talked about how much money it took
  you to get out of your little thing, and Mr.
  Pickard indicates, "It was more than money, it
  was at the risk of your life lived and all of
  your friends." Do you recall that on the tape?
- A. Right.
- Q. What is he talking about?
- A. Well, he was saying that had it gone bad enough, you know, we could all be sitting in prison for life. Or if it was determined-there was a veiled threat there, also.
- Q. You took that as a veiled threat?
- A. Well, there's a general veiled threat in there.

  If someone dropped the ball that bad, you could get eliminated.
- Q. Talking about missile silos, you indicated, "If you promise 'C' will send us some bucks and go in there, hide stuff." What are you talking about?
- A. Please reread this, sir. Start a phrase above it.
- Q. You state, "So I like missile bases because

they can't be sneak and peeked into."

A. Right.

- Q. Mr. Pickard says, "Uh-huh." And you say, "If you'll promise 'C' will send us some bucks and go in there, hide stuff," Mr. Pickard interrupts and says, "Yeah, we don't mind doing that."
- A. Well, it's confusing. We had-- we had sent "C" money more than a year ago to get-- or something like that. Yeah, more than a year ago to get this pneumatic door built or this electromagnetic door, sorry, and it just had not been finished. It was a continual promise, promise, promise,
- Q. So then when Mr. Pickard says, "I-- I've got him designing-- I've got him designing a universal doorway right now that can replace almost any door, but it has seconds, magnetic solenoids."
- A. Yeah.
- Q. What is that about?
- A. We had been talking about this since Santa Fe, where you have a door that has no apparent seam and that you can electromagnetically open it from the outside with a digital code or some

sort of a hidden button. And it would open inward and it could be used as a safe room in case the lab was under siege. You could pop it open, you could close it. And also, if the house got hit with a sneak and peek or the missile base or any lab area, that they would have less of a chance of finding it. When-this is one of the reasons that we always needed the blueprints to any house. Such-anything we wanted the blueprints so we could find areas to hide the lab in in case there was just even an accidental entry by the authorities. Anything can happen.

- Q. And during the conversation later, you indicate, "Hopefully you'll take one of them, okay, but you guys make your own calls."

  "Well, it would be nice to see the spaces without traveling all over," is Mr. Pickard's response. And then you say, "No, what I'm saying is, uh, within the place I've got three spots." What are the two of you talking about there?
- A. Well, he thought that I meant three different spots in Kansas. And what I meant was I had one spot with three different lab spaces,

1 potential lab spaces in it. 2 And when you said, "But you guys make your own 3 calls." Who are the "you quys"? 4 Clyde Apperson and Leonard Pickard. 5 Mr. Pickard later in the conversation says, Q. "All you've got to do is give us lock and key 6 7 and we'll take care of the rest of it." What 8 did that mean to you? 9 It meant that I just hand over the keys to Α. 10 whatever the facility is and walk away and they 11 all handle everything. Is that ultimately what happened? 12 Q. Well, I mean I guess. I mean -- I handed them 13 Α. 14 the keys and they took care of packing that lab 15 down. 16 Where at? Ο. 17 Wamego. Α. 18 Mr. Pickard asks you, "The support of Ganga Q. 19 going to cause you any legal problems?" 20 is that all about? 21 There was a problem going on with the Ganga Α. 22 White over-- where Ganga needed \$80,000 in November, he needed it before then, but it took 23 24 me -- I was late just because I was busy. He

got the 80,000 and then they wrote a-- an

electronic check to me after they had the money in the back of their car for five days or four days or something like that. "They" being Ganga White, I believe Tracy, his wife, gave me the check. And then he had a problem with Customs served a subpoena on him or something or wanted to interview him, Ganga White. he was beginning to get very nervous, he was asking for legal help from everyone, and he wanted to -- everyone to chip into his legal bills. And Leonard and myself actually talked about it, we felt that there was enough risk from the knowledge that Ganga had that we should stay very close to him informationally to figure out what was going on with him. And who is Ganga White? Ganga White is a -- he was the former head of

- Ο.
- Α. the UDV on the order of eight, nine years ago.
- UDV is what? Ο.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Unalo Do Vegetal Ayawaskaro Church. And he was Α. also the -- I believe the head of the White Lotus Foundation, which primarily trains people about yoga. And he was a-- very much an entheogen enthusiast.
- You indicate, "When he went before the grand Q.

- 1 jury and testified, he could have. And, 2 remember, he knew all that stuff from Brother 3 What are you talking about? Brother A would be just Alfred Savinelli. 4 Α. And Alfred Savinelli somehow leaked out the 5 information to Ganga White about the Swimming 6 7 Pool Project. Which is what? 8 Q. 9 The LSD project in Santa Fe. Or it could have Α. 10 been the LSD project in Aspen. But whatever, 11 he leaked out that there was a huge LSD 12 operation that would be around. And this was 13 always a point of great concern for Leonard, 14 myself. And I believe that Clyde said that Alfred just could not be trusted at all. 15 16 Mr. Pickard talks about seeing your uncle or Ο. 17 not seeing your uncle the night of the party. Who is he referring to? 18 19 I believe he's talking about Joel Kramer. Α.
  - Q. Is he a blood relative?

21

22

23

24

- A. No. Is it uncle in there or someone made a-is it--
  - Q. "No, I didn't see your uncle the night of the party. I just said hi."
- A. Okay. That would have been Joel Kramer.

And why was he referring to him as your uncle? 1 Q. 2 I don't know, that was a -- normally we referred Α. 3 to him as something else, Rumpelstiltskin or something. But in this case he referred to him 4 5 as my uncle, so--And during the conversation, you asked Mr. 6 Q. Pickard, "So what has the Cobra been like?" 7 And there is some conversation about that. Who 8 9 is the Cobra? 10 Α. Deborah Harlow. 11 Why was she called the Cobra? Q. 12 Most people that interacted with her said she Α. 13 had a vicious attitude and was one of the most difficult people that they had ever encountered 14 15 in their lives to deal with. 16 And she was related to who in what manner? Q. She was the mother of Leonard's child, first 17 Α. 18 child, first daughter. You talk about having some love letters of Mr. 19 0. Pickard's. What is that about? 20 21 Α. This was a letter from Selene, one of Leonard's 22 girlfriends. 23 Mr. Pickard then says, "So, uh, let's set a Ο. timetable to boogie. I can leave anytime 24

Friday." What are you talking about with him

there, what is he saying? 1 2 We're talking about going to Kansas. Α. And you indicated you wanted to get there 3 Ο. 4 first, "so that you guys are not yelling at 5 me." What are you talking about? I'm just saying that I basically want to have 6 Α. everything under order and in control before--7 but what I'm really trying to do is buy time 8 9 for the Government to get their work done, 10 because they were behind schedule on being able 11 to process this. 12 Ο. And the Government being who? The DEA. 13 Α. And during this same conversation, you go into 14 15 talking about, "You tell me gamma, alpha, zeta4 16 gamma, German, and I couldn't hear it." And 17 you continue on about that. What is that in 18 reference to? 19 Α. We're just going back to trying to get that 20 phone number done. You indicate that Bill has your number on his 21 Q. 22 cell phone? Bill Wynn from Tulsa, Oklahoma, picked up -23 Α. 24 when Leonard was calling to try and find me -25 his phone number. And Bill told me, he said,

1 odd thing, a phone call from Leonard, the number showed up. Which means that Leonard 2 3 wasn't using a calling card which meant this phone was really blown that he was using. 4 5 And that was important why? Q. Well, that meant that this phone security was 6 Α. 7 totally garbage. 8 Mr. Pickard asked you, "Have you heard of Ο. 4-acetoxy DIPT. Are you-- do you recall that? 9 10 Yes, uh-huh. Α. And he indicates, "We tried 5-methoxy, DIPT, 11 Q. 12 but`5-acetoxy DIPT is supposed to be more 13 interesting." Where are -- what is he talking 14 about there? 15 Do you want me to give the full explanation? Α. 16 Please. 0. 17 Okay. Sasha, Alexander T. Shulqin had designed Α. a new molecule, which is actually called 18 19 5-methoxy NN-dipropyltryptamine, and that this 20 was a-- a very calm tryptamine, it was orally 21 active, which is unusual, because the monoamine 22 oxide enzymes do not tear it apart. And this 23 was kind of unusual. And he also put in the 24 description that it, as an aphrodisiac blows 2

CB away or in the dust. This is published,

1 anyone can look it up, as an aphrodisiac. 2 Leonard thought that this would have potential 3 to be marketed worldwide. There was also a 4 potential defense that this would not come 5 under the Drug Analog Act. And someone in Chicago, and I don't know who it is, and maybe 6 7 this was a lie and it was disinformation, but we pumped out -- we were supposed to pay 8 9 \$25,000 --MR. RORK: Well, Judge, I would again 10 11 ask if they're going to be passing notes and talking back and forth that they take a break 12 13 or not be leading the witness with questions like that. 14 15 MR. HOUGH: Judge, I was not leading the witness. 16 17 MR. RORK: Mr. Hough wasn't, but 18 people are walking up to him and whispering, 19 and I object to that. 20 THE COURT: Well, I see no problem 21 with that. Overruled. 22 We were-- we were to pay \$25,000 for this small Α. 23 one or two gram sample. We actually only paid 24 \$12,500. 25 Q. Do these drugs have street names?

.4

A. Well, at that time nobody had a name for it.

We had a nickname for it, which was Frank. And

I can explain that. Sasha's stepdaughter Wendy
had a boyfriend named Frank, so the first
samples that went through the system came
through Wendy, who gave it to her boyfriend
named Frank, who then broke up with her and
moved to New Mexico because it-- it-- you know,
you've got to be-- for Wendy, she's got to be
careful about who she dates because people
would date her just to have that connection of
being involved with Sasha.

And they break up, he moves to New

Mexico. Alfred Savinelli makes buddies with

him. He gets a sample. And Alfred says this

stuff is great. So we really didn't-- there's

so many things to pick from that we really

didn't have that much interest until now we've

heard Sasha's opinion of it and then we heard

Alfred's opinion of it. And we then decided to

test it in the increments that everyone else

had tested it with a milligram scale under very

controlled circumstances. And both Leonard and

I said this is a joke. The now common name of

this is Foxy and it has hit the entire West

Coast en masse. I mean, I guess that's true from what I read.

- Q. Mr. Pickard indicates he's going over again in January for a conference, and you ask, "Are we supposed to see Albert Haufman in Heidelberg this year? And then how about Switzerland?"

  What is this conversation -- part of the conversation about?
- A. Yearly there was a meeting with Albert Haufman , and it-- different people would go to the meeting. The Heidelberg meeting would be not far from the castle region of Heidelberg. And it would be where different people would get together with Albert and they would talk about chemistry and they would talk about the future of enthiogens. And Albert Haufman was the famous organic chemist that worked for Sandose (spelled phonetically) Pharmaceutical, who in 1943 published the first results of LSD-25, along with being the father of many, many, many medical drugs.

MR. BENNETT: Judge, I'm going to object to this. This isn't responsive to the question that was asked. This is just kind of a rambling dissertation.

1 MR. HOUGH: Judge, he's just described Mr. Albert Haufman. Mr. Albert 2 3 Haufman and that history is not a dissertation. 4 THE COURT: Well, we've heard his name several times here, so nice we know who he 5 Overruled. 6 is. 7 (BY MR. HOUGH) Now, later in the conversation, Q. Mr. Pickard indicates that he's got a real 8 9 surprise coming, really interesting event going 10 on. Can you describe that for us? 11 Well, I mean, he says that he's-- there's some Α. 12 sort of event I quess in the London area where 13 there's going to be people gathering, I don't 14 know where in Europe, I -- I mean, that's why I 15 would like the transcript. I'm really cheating 16 here with --Did he sometime immediately before or sometime 17 Q. 18 shortly after this conversation describe some 19 type of an event that he wanted Sting at and 20 possibly Paul Simon? 21 MR. BENNETT: Object to the form, Your Honor, he's leading him, suggestive. 22 MR. HOUGH: Judge, the witness 23 24 indicated he was unclear. I asked a question 25 in an attempt to clarify for him. It's not an

1 inappropriate question. 2 MR. RORK: Well, Judge, if he's 3 unclear, he can show him the transcript, ask 4 him to review it and see if it refreshes his 5 recollection rather than spoon-feed him. 6 MR. HOUGH: Judge, that would be an 7 alternative means. This is quicker, and we're 8 attempting to expedite matters. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. 10 Α. Somewhere there was going to be a conference 11 and that's why I wanted the transcript, to be 12 able to tell you where that somewhere is. And it may not have even been determined at this 13 14 point. But it would be, as Leonard said, a high-level conference, meaning that there would 15 16 be politicians and people of political financial wherewithal to further a change in 17 18 drug policy worldwide. During this conversation, Mr. Pickard 19 ο. 20 indicates, "Well, we want to invite Sting so we need a way to send him an invitation." You 21 22 indicated, "I've got Sting in." What is this 23 conversation about? He just wanted me-- both Alfred, myself and--24 Α.

with Alfred, John Halprin and myself and I

	believe Leonard, yes, Leonard met knew Sting,
	but he didn't
Q.	Sting is who?
A.	He's a musician.
Q.	Okay.
A.	And he wanted to make sure that Sting made it
	to this conference.
Q.	Why would Mr. Pickard want that
A.	Just
Q.	to your knowledge?
A.	Just to beef up the quality of people that were
	going to the conference.
Q.	You indicated that you could not get Paul Simon
	because he's too hooked into Mr. Pickard
	says
	MR. BENNETT: Judge, can we approach?
Q.	( BY MR. HOUGH) Sting would do nicely.
	MR. BENNETT: Can we approach?
	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
	(THEREUPON, the following
	proceedings were held at the bench and
	outside of the hearing of the jury).
	MR. BENNETT: Judge, I'm going to
	object to all of this. This stuff is doesn't
	prove or disprove or tend to prove or disprove
	A. Q. A. Q. A.

anything in this lawsuit. Mr. Hough keeps talking about, well, this will speed it up doing it this way. Well, it will speed it up if we just talk about things that prove or disprove the claims in this case. And-- and we're-- we're going to be here until Memorial Day at the rate we're going. I would like to see it speeded up, too, but to-- what does Sting and Paul Simon have to do with this-- the issues in this case?

MR. HOUGH: I-- I don't know, Judge, that's why I asked him.

MR. BENNETT: Well--

MR. HOUGH: And the jury is sitting over there wondering, having heard this, and they don't know. So that's why we ask. The jury is entitled to know, they're entitled to an explanation of the evidence.

MR. BENNETT: Judge, if they're-- the jury is entitled to hear the evidence that tends to prove or disprove the issues in this case. We can put a lot of witnesses on just to talk about the time of day, but it's-- it's-- it's fruitless, I-- I-- and Mr. Hough professes an interest in speeding this up and then he

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

1 spends 15, 20, 25 minutes on these issues that 2 are on-- they're putting on evidence that 3 doesn't have anything to do with anything. 4 MR. RORK: Your Honor, I would join 5 in and agree. We've got like several more 6 tapes to play yet that are longer than this 7 one. 8 MR. HOUGH: Judge, if the witness had 9 answered the question, it would have taken less 10 than a third of the time that we're taking here 11 now bickering over his explanation of the piece of evidence, which is admissible. 12 13 THE COURT: Well, I understand that 14 you answered some of the questions that are in 15 the conversation, but let's not drag this out 16 too long, because we're taking up everyone-everyone in this thing and I-- I don't know how 17 18 Haufman figures at all in the worldwide 19 conspiracy, if there is such a thing, I just --20 let's try to be a little more careful with the 21 Court's time. We're taking an awful lot of 22 time here. 23 MR. HOUGH: Okay. 24 (THEREUPON, the bench conference 25 was concluded and the following

1 proceedings were held within the hearing of the jury). 3 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Do you recall the question? 4 Α. Yes, I believe you were asking about the situation with Paul Simon. 5 6 Ο. Yes. 7 And I'm saying there's a problem with that. Α. Paul Simon was very hooked in and much better 8 friends with Alfred Savinelli. And at this 9 10 time and for the last year Leonard had been 11 having problems with Alfred Savinelli. 12 have given a heads-up would have meant Alfred would have expected an invitation. 13 And then there was some sort of an argument going on 14 between John Halprin and Alfred Savinelli about 15 16 their connection to Paul Simon. And then Paul 17 Simon and Sting, even though they had residences in New York in the same building, 18 19 didn't participate socially with each other 20 much. 21 Judge, I'm going to MR. BENNETT: 22 make the same objection that I made when I came 23 up earlier. THE COURT: These people are not 24 chemists, Simon and Sting and the rest of them, 25

1 but let's not spend so much time on -- on these 2 matters. Let's try to be more precise. 3 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Now, later in the conversation, 4 you indicate, "It showed up as Bill walked into federal court with me." What are you talking 5 6 about? 7 Bill Wynn walked into this room with me and he had had a phone call in Topeka and a phone--8 and his phone number -- Leonard's showed up on 9 10 the phone. 11 Q. Okay. 12 And just because Bill was so tightly associated Α. with me, if it-- his phone number showing up on 13 Bill's phone, that's bad, because Bill's phones 14 15 were nonsecurity phones, they were in his name. 16 Later Mr. Pickard indicates, "We're flying into Q. 17 Kansas City. Well, we would rather not." This 18 is in reference to what? The Kruglova or the Natasha money bust that she 19 Α. 20 was detained but not arrested on, \$27,000 21 sometime in early March or something like that. 22 You indicated later in the conversation, "On 0. the clothes that you carry when you set this 23 up, I don't want any of those going back home 24

with you because -- " and then you're

interrupted, "the chance-- because they carry contaminants." Why are you worried about that?

- A. Just any of the precursors to the actual end product could really wreak havoc with a pregnant woman. As I was trying to say with the work that Albert Haufman had done, many of the other derivatives of the ergot alkaloids are used to induce labor and also used to stop uterine bleeding post-birth. And these have unpredictable effects, and I just wanted to remind him of that.
- Q. Later in the conversation Mr. Pickard asked you, "Did you have any car wrecks or any other weird things happen to you in the last two weeks?" What's he talking about?
- A. Well, he used to always make a bet with me, could I make it some seven days without some sort of minor problem. But the two car wrecks he's referring to was a car wreck right here in Topeka where I was-- I flew and-- very early in the morning and-- from the West Coast to here, I believe, got a vehicle and drove to-- I was driving to my lawyer's office and it was at Fairlawn and 21st or 29th, came off and I was turned around from the direction that Tom Haney

1 had told me and I got hit by a cement truck. 2 And I needed to be in federal court, and I 3 still made it on time in federal court, and 4 crawled out of that crunched-up mess. 5 Q. Why were those types of things problematic? 6 Α. Well, any kind of -- any kind of incident with 7 anyone was very much focused on -- for example, 8 in the distribution ring in San Francisco, Pickard had had a problem with one of the--9 10 very far downstream, it was a 19-year-old. 11 the reports kept coming up that the kid would 12 get pulled over. He was one of the largest 13 movers of crystalline LSD. He would keep 14 getting pulled over with like speeding tickets 15 and a little marijuana charge or something and he was shut off because of that after a certain 16 17 amount. Because of the nature of my position, 18 I got by with a lot more than other people. 19 Q. Okay. 20 It would usually be-- you know, it would have Α. 21 taken quite something to have knocked me out of 22 the system. 23 Q. Mr. Pickard later indicates that he is going to 24 check PGP. What is he talking about there? 25 Α. This is an encryption code that uses a

1 complicated mathematical algorithm using prime 2 number factoring. And it encodes data so that 3 it cannot be -- theoretically cannot be 4 intercepted by the Government or anyone that 5 wants to look into it. These are electronic 6 files. Standard operations for all the 7 computers of the organization and transmissions 8 except for me. I didn't trust PGP. 9 Q. Okay. Now, between this conversation that we 10 just heard October the 23rd, the next 11 conversation series of those begins October the 12 29th. Correct? 13 Α. I guess. 14 And at that point in time, are you in Kansas? Q. 15 Α. I believe so. And what occurred between the series of 16 Ο. conversations, telephone conversations that we 17 18 have heard today and your arrival in Kansas? Was there anything going on in between there? 19 20 Well, I mean, the DEA was trying to get ready Α. to go to the middle of the United States. 21 22 were preparing, they were saying buy as much 23 time. I went ahead and flew to the middle of

Now, do you recall on October the 27th walking

the United States. You know, I mean--

24

25

Q.

through the Wamego location with Karl Nichols of the DEA?

A. Yes.

- Q. And at that point in time, where is the ET?
- A. Some ET is in the facility and some ET is in my in-laws' house.
  - Q. And why is it in two places?
  - A. Because we had reached capacity in this little footlocker or it was a trunk that had never been-- hadn't been opened for years in my wife's bedroom as a child. And then there was a little doll box that had two can that fit exactly in there. And there was no more space to put any more of these canisters. And these were the only two spots that we were for sure that her parents wouldn't open up. And then I superglued them with a silicon in case they tried to open them up, they would be unopened. But my wife had told me that they had not opened them up and she had not opened them up in literally years.
  - Q. And so where at the base were the other two cans?
  - A. No, the other cans would have been up in the--above the Leberck unit.

Q. Which is where?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. In the living space air duct, and I-- if you had the little thing I could show you. I circled it.
  - Q. Okay. So on October the 27th, DEA walks through with you and what happens?
  - A. Oh, wow, let's see.
    - Q. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes. They walk in, they're very skeptical that

    I'm telling the truth.
  - Q. Where is the lab at that point in time?
- 12 A. In the Lester building.
- 13 | Q. Was it in--
- 14 A. Military boxes.
  - Q. Okay. Had it been moved from where you had put it when you brought it back from the Atlas F?
    - A. Well, first of all, it had to be processed and then it had to be organized. And there was a way-- I was so concerned about the-- anyone putting a search warrant or coming in there and accidentally looking through the Lester building that-- the Lester building's height was exactly high enough to where if you put in the stack of military containers, only one forklift could get it out correctly and no

1 other forklift could get it out. So when we 2 left, we would enable (sic) that forklift and 3 it would have been virtually impossible for a 4 group of people to have done an easy search. 5 It would have been a real tough search without 6 that forklift. So go on. 7 So that's the way they were when you brought Q. 8 them back from the Atlas F? 9 Α. I don't mean they had to be-- you know-- they 10 were -- they were organized, at least these are 11 the ones that had garbage in them, these are 12 the ones that have white buckets in them. 13 These are the ones that have loose things in them and they were reorganized like that. 14 15 Q. And were they in that same condition when DEA arrived there on October 27th? 16 They had been sitting that way for some time, 17 Α. 18 since -- since they had been moved. 19 Ο. Were there any of those boxes containing the 20 lab in the middle of the Lester building? 21 Well, I think that the -- I think that the DEA Α. 22 had asked me to get some boxes ready. 23 pulled down some of them. 24 Q. Okay.

And I'm doing this from the best of my memory.

25

Α.

- I pulled some down and had them ready.

  Q. Now-
  A. Ultimately, they asked me to do a lot of reorganizing of those boxes.

  Q. And did you, in fact, do that?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. How was that done?
- 8 A. With a forklift.
  - Q. Okay. Now, on October the 29th and October the 30th, do you remember having phone calls back and forth with Mr. Pickard relative to coming to Kansas?
- 13 A. Yes.

10

11

12

- 14 Q. And those were recorded?
- 15 A. Yes. Some of the phone calls where he was just calling and verifying I was there.
- 17 Q. And do you recall listening to the recording?
- 18 A. (Nods head up and down).
- 19 Q. You need to answer audibly?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And was it a true and accurate recording of the conversation?
- A. Just the one-sided conversation. He was
  looking for me. And if I happened to have

answered the phone, they were true and

1 accurate, yes. 2 Q. And were the transcriptions that were made true 3 and accurate transcripts? 4 Α. Yes. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Hough, before we 6 start on this, let's take a 15-minute break at 7 this time. Ladies and gentlemen, let's take 8 our afternoon break. We'll see you back here 9 in about 15 minutes. Mr. Bailiff. 10 (THEREUPON, a recess was had). 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hough. 12 MR. HOUGH: Judge, based upon the 13 record as it stood prior to the recess, we 14 would offer Exhibit 13, which is tape N-1, conversations recorded October 29th and 30th. 15 MR. RORK: Judge, on behalf of Mr. 16 Pickard, other than reasons previously stated, 17 18 I would have no objection. MR. BENNETT: Our position, Your 19 Honor, would be the same as we voiced on these 20 21 previous tapes that were offered. We would 22 just reassert that position. 23 THE COURT: All right. 13 will be admitted. 24 25 MR. HOUGH: We would ask to publish

1		that, Judge.
2		THE COURT: Yes, sir.
3		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
4	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Now, what we've just heard,
5		what were those?
6	A.	Those were the phone recording device that is a
7		part of Wamego telephone package to where you
8		have Auto Call Notes, and they were messages
9		that were being left when the automatic
10		answering device through the telephone company
11		lifted up.
12	Q.	So voice mails essentially?
13	A.	Voice mails.
14	Q.	And were there additional ones?
15	A.	Were there additional ones?
16	Q.	Yeah, additional missed calls that and voice
17		mails that you received between the 29th and
18	,	the 30th?
19	A.	I'm sure. I don't remember them, though.
20		MR. HOUGH: Go ahead.
21		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
22	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Now, on the two conversations
23		we've heard now, they're referring to Gunnar.
24		Why is that?
25	A.	Gunnar was the person that normally took care

1 of the base, and he would be the person that 2 would be the one that would normally be 3 answering the phone. Q. Okay. And was it uncommon for you to get 4 5 messages from individuals in this manner? No, it was the standard way I got my messages. 6 Α. 7 I didn't retrieve messages from the phones. 8 Q. Now, phone messages at the Wamego site, phone 9 numbers, do you recall those phone numbers? 10 Α. 9432, 9002, 9009. They start with a-- a 456, I can't remember. I'm sorry about that. But I 11 12 can remember the prefixes, there were-- I mean the suffixes, there were a number of them. 13 14 had a lot of phone lines. 15 And what was the purpose of that? Ο. 16 Well, when the corporation was there, there Α. 17 needed to be a phone line that could go to each given area, because the area was so large 18 19 underground. And just so people could have--20 you know, if five people were on the phone, they could get phone calls out. 21 22 Now, later on October the 29th at about 9 p.m., Q. you had an additional -- you actually had a 23 conversation with Mr. Pickard? 24 25 Α. Yes.

1 (THEREUPON, the audiotape was played). 2 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Mr. Skinner, toward the end of 3 the conversation, Mr. Pickard adamantly says, 4 "Do not be late." Can you describe for us 5 what's going on there? 6 He was emphasizing how important the time Α. 7 schedule was because of C/Clyde Apperson's 8 schedule of being with his family. And, number 9 two, because Leonard was expecting a baby and 10 we didn't have anytime to play with, no slop 11 time. 12 Q. During the conversation, you referred to 13 Seattle 1 and A-ville. Can you describe for us 14 what those are? 15 Α. A-ville would be Albuquerque. Seattle 1 would 16 be the Wamego missile base, Site 7 or referred to as D site. 17 18 Q. You asked -- or make the statement that you had been trying to get ahold of Mr. Pickard for two 19 20 days, trying to get in touch with him through 21 e-mail through, quote, our dear friend. Who 22 are you referring to there? 23 Graham Kendall. Α. 24 And later in that same conversation, Mr. Q.

Pickard says, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 is fine.

What is 0?

- A. Okay. I wanted to look at that closely. There was O-ville and then there was just plain O. O could be Oklahoma City and if it's O-ville, it's Omaha.
- Q. Mr. Pickard makes the statement, "He's going to, like, be frisky and like going-- what's going on. So he's got to be home Friday for a weekend thing with his daughter. So this was the week that we were supposed to work. So he is going to come home then come back out."

  What's Mr. Pickard talking about?
- A. Clyde Apperson's schedule.
- O. The Roo area is what?
- A. Roo or Rooville represents Santa Fe. And how it got its name was the lab there was infested with kangaroo rats. And they were used to be fed there, but then when the Hantavirus came down, "C," figured a way to get them out of there without killing them. So it then became nicknamed Rooville from kangaroo rats, Santa Fe.
- Q. Now, Mr. Pickard indicates that Saturday he would be out and then he'll come in Sunday. He being who?

A. Clyde Apperson.

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Were the two men, your understanding, traveling separately?
  - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. And based upon the conversation, where was it that they were coming into?
  - A. Well, it wasn't really well defined, I thought
    Oklahoma City or it could have been Omaha. I
    mean, I mean--
  - Q. At the time of the conversation, that was your thinking?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- A. But I thought that they would show up in Wamego.
  - Q. Okay. You asked, "We need no trucks, right?"

    And Mr. Pickard says, "We need a smaller

    version, you know." And then indicates that,

    "We'll deal with that." What's he talking

    about there?
  - A. He's probably wanting a four-wheel drive, SUV, something that won't bog down. And he knows the situation with these roads. So he didn't want the risk of getting bogged down.
  - Q. Mr. Pickard indicates, "All you've got to do is

- give us the keys to the Dodge." What's he talking about?
  - A. The keys are the keys to the room. The Dodge is the actual lab itself.
    - Q. And give us the keys, who is "us"?

- A. Clyde Apperson and William Leonard Pickard.
  - Q. And when he says, "We just need the Dodge to be running and comfortable, all we need is the key," what's he talking about?
  - A. That it needs to be organized and it needs to have all the-- if it's the-- the-- what he should have said was the garage for the Dodge, but he was-- left a word out. And so he meant that the area needed to be warm because of the time of the year and the utilities and everything needed to be working.
  - Q. And up to and during this conversation, what was your expectation of what would happen when Mr. Apperson and Mr. Pickard arrived in Kansas, late October, early November, 2000? What was your expectation based upon your conversations with the men?
  - A. Well, that they would open up all the containers of the lab, make sure it wasn't damaged, do an inventory, make sure things--

look at what things had been damaged due to just the move, then begin looking for a spot to put it, to rebuild the lab in and then assemble the lab.

- Q. So your expectation was once it was assembled, what would happen?
- A. It would then be moth-balled and a period of wait would occur for a number of reasons.

  Clyde had to leave and Leonard had to go back because he was expecting a baby. And then third, the normal protocol, set the lab up and leave.
- Q. And what was the expectation of where it would be set up?
- A. Well, they thought it was going to be some sort of a missile base around -- or underground facility around Topeka. My expectation was to try to get them to do it at Wamego.
- Q. Why were you going to try to get them to do it at Wamego?
- A. Because that was the only fast place that I controlled that we could get this done at. If it was-- you know, I could have gone over and tried to beg someone else to use their space, but I would have had to have done an awful lot

1		of explaining.
2	Q.	Now, ultimately, your next contact with Mr.
3		Apperson and Mr. Pickard would have been at
4		least recorded on November 1 of 2000; is that
5		correct?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	During a phone call?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And would it have been recorded in the same
10		manner that you have described
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	previously?
13	A.	Yes.
14		MR. HOUGH: Your Honor, we would
15		offer Exhibit 93, the recordings of the
16		conversations November the 1st of 2000.
17		MR. RORK: Again, Your Honor, no
18		objection based on your previous rulings.
19		MR. BENNETT: We would assert the
20		same position we've asserted before, Your
21		Honor.
22		THE COURT: All right. That will be
23		admitted.
24		MR. HOUGH: We would ask to publish
25		it in the same manner previously, Judge.

7		THE COURT IN THE STATE OF THE S
1		THE COURT: Yes, sir.
2		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
3	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) And then later, also on
4		November the 1st, was there an actual
5		conversation between you and Mr. Pickard?
6	A.	Yes.
7		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
8	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Mr. Skinner, can you describe
9		for us what's going on in that conversation?
10	Α.	They've flown in their
11	Q.	They who?
12	Α.	Clyde Apperson and William Leonard Pickard,
13		Jr., have arrived into Tulsa, Oklahoma. And
14		I'm trying to establish by saying the marble
15		place, that would be the Chairman of the Board
16		suite at the Adams Mark Hotel. I'm trying to
17		find out what hotel they're going to stay at,
18		and they're not giving me confirmation back of
19		what hotel they'll be at. They're not giving
20		me confirmation of what hotel they'll be at.
21	Q.	Anything about that strike you as unusual?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Why?
24	A.	And the tone of his voice was unusual. He was
25		uptight.

Q.	Based upon your relationship with him and your
	prior conversations with him, did you have any
	idea what was going on there?
A.	I felt that he was agitated at me.
Q.	Did you know of a reason that that would be
	true?
Α.	Nothing, since the last conversation was so
	nice, I don't know why all of a sudden the
	demeanor changed. I had suspicions that my
	suspicion that there had been a leak and he
	knew that there was a serious problem. That's
	what I suspected, but I guess I was wrong.
Q.	Now, the next day on November the 2nd, were
	there additional conversations?
A.	Yes.
Q.	And were they likewise recorded?
A.	Yes.
	MR. HOUGH: Your Honor, we would
	offer Exhibit 94 at this time, the recordings
	of the conversations on November the 2nd of the
	year 2000.
	MR. RORK: Your Honor, for the
	Court's edification and clarification, if it
	would save any time with respect to 94, 95, 96,
	A. Q. A. Q.

97 and 98, we would not have any objection to

1		those in light of the Court's previous rulings.
2		MR. BENNETT: And our position would
3		be the same as to all of them as we've
4		previously stated, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: All right. This will be
6		admitted. And you may publish it.
7		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
8	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Now, was there a subsequent
9		call that day after that.
10	A.	I guess so, yes.
11		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
12	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Now, early in the conversation
13		you indicate that what you did was really
14		stupid, you didn't Star 67 him. What's that
15		all about?
16	A.	On some calling cards, if you don't block it,
17		it will show through the number that you're
18		calling from. And I was not calling from where
19		I said I was calling from, and I didn't want
20		the ID going on there.
21	Q.	You indicated
22	Α.	It would have been one of the things that I had
23		been commonly doing. Because without testing
24		the calling cards, we don't know if they're
25		transparent. For example, all AT&T calling

- cards are now transparent and it goes right
  through and other calling cards don't show
  through.
  - Q. On a caller ID?
- 5 A. Caller ID.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. You indicate you went to where the supermarket is. What are you talking about?
  - A. The IGA supermarket in Wamego.
    - Q. Mr. Pickard says he has two boxes there.

      "Remember the two boxes? You had two boxes of material you left down here, you moved away."

      What's he talking about?
    - A. Those were the two boxes of ET that Clyde had asked about when he was up there visiting that I told him was in Tulsa.
    - Q. Based upon that conversation, why did you think that it was important that you go to Tulsa?
    - A. Well, at the end of the conversation it was because I was the only one that could retrieve the ET.
    - Q. Now, were there additional conversations on the 2nd?
    - A. I don't know, I-- maybe, yes.
      - Q. Continue the -- the next conversation.
- 25 A. Paperwork is out of order here. Yes.

1		(THEREUPON, the audiotape was played).
2	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) The boxes?
3	A.	The ET.
4	Q.	Mr. Pickard indicates you were a week late.
5		What's he talking about?
6	A.	I've been trying to buy time for the Government
7		to process this thing, and I've been throwing
8		up every possible shield to be slow.
9	Q.	Mr. Pickard says, "We're entirely capable of
10		doing whatever." What's he talking about?
11	A.	They don't need my help whatsoever opening and
12		processing and repacking the lab or assembling
13		the lab.
14	Q.	And you asked him early on, "I assume our
15		Brother C is with you." And he says, "That's
16		right." You asked, "Is the viral thing still
17		going on?" What are you talking about?
18	Α.	Clyde Apperson and is he well from his ear
19		viral infection.
20	Q.	Okay.
21		MR. HOUGH: Play the next
22		conversation now, Agent. Was there
23		AGENT NICHOLS: That's it.
24	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Subsequently, then, you
25		traveled to Tulsa. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And on November the 3rd of the year 2000, you were in Tulsa with the agents?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recall that Agent Sorrell attempted to put a recording device on you?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And there has been evidence earlier in this from Agent Sorrell that the recordings failed. So there were tapes, but there was nothing on them. Can you recount for us, please, what happened once you got to Tulsa on that day, November the 3rd of 2000?
    - A. Well, I went into the lobby of the Adams Mark and I called up to the room.
    - Q. Let's back up.
- 17 A. I'm sorry.
- 18 Q. How did you get from Wamego to Tulsa?
- 19 A. Drove down with a bunch of DEA agents.
- 20 Q. What car?
- 21 A. What car?
- Q. Uh-huh. Was it a DEA car or your car, whose car?
- A. It was some sort of DEA car, I have no idea.
- 25 And--

- When you arrived--Q.
- Arthur -- Arthur was driving with me. 2 Α.
- 3 And when you got to Tulsa and the Adams Mark, ο. 4 did the agents --
  - Before we-- before we got there, we had to stop Α. at a place and strap this recording device on.
    - Did the agents go with you in the car to the Q. Adams Mark?
    - Α. No, we stopped at like Pine and Peoria.
  - Q. In Tulsa?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- And then that was where I took over In Tulsa. Α. the driving. And we did this absurd little keystone cops routine outside trying to get this recorder on me. And then we drove to the -- I drove the car to the Adams Mark and a number of agents were in many cars of different types following me and -- behind and in front of me.
- Q. So did you then drive the car up to the Adams Mark? 20
  - Α. Yes, into the semi-- the semi-circle-semi-circular drive.
  - And tell us what happened. Q.
    - I then -- I think I called up to his room or I Α. went in and called from a hotel phone up to his

1 room. 2 Q. What happened? 3 He told me to come up, they were having a bite, 4 and I didn't want to go up there, and so I 5 said, "Come down here." Why did you not want to go up there? 6 0. I didn't know if there had been a leak and I 7 Α. was concerned with --8 What kind of a leak? 9 0. 10 Where they had found out that this lab-- that I Α. 11 was cooperating with the Government. Because 12 of the demeanor and some of the tones and the sharpness and how this strategy was going on, I 13 14 did not know what the situation was. So you didn't go up to the room? 15 Q. 16 Α. No. What happened? 17 Ο. 18 I called from a -- I may have called from a Α. 19 phone in the car, but I definitely called from 20 a house phone up to the room, the Chairman of 21 the Board suite on the 15th floor, and one of them answered. 22 One of them being who? 23 Q. Either William Leonard Pickard or Clyde 24 Α.

Apperson answered the phone.

- Q. And then what happened?
- 2 A. We had a conversation.
- 3 Q. What about?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

- A. They wanted me to come up and they said that
  there was, you know, some food and stuff and
  that I could eat up there. And I said, "No, I
  don't want to, I'm in a hurry," I needed to do
  this and that, and I convinced Leonard into
  - Q. And what happened?
    - A. I was sitting in the car and he approached from the right-hand side of the car and was talking to me through the right-hand window.
    - Q. Passenger or driver's side?

coming down to the car.

- A. The passenger side. He was talking to me through that window, so I seem to remember.
- 17 | Q. And then what happened?
- 18 A. I told him I had already moved the two boxes up
  19 to Wamego.
  - Q. And do you recall Mr. Apperson coming up to the vehicle during the conversation?
  - A. No, I don't recall.
  - Q. You don't recall. Maybe, maybe not, you don't don't know?
- 25 MR. BENNETT: Well, now, Judge, he

1 said he doesn't recall. 2 Α. I don't recall. 3 THE COURT: I think that's adequate. 4 Go on to something else. 5 (BY MR. HOUGH) At any point in time while you Q. were at Tulsa at the Adams Mark in the vehicle, 6 7 did Mr. Apperson join the conversation, or do 8 you know? I don't remember. 9 Α. 10 Ο. Okay. 11 But I think he answered the phone-- I'm almost Α. 12 positive he answered the phone up in the room. Okay. And what was the conversation with him 13 Q. on the phone? 14 Oh, just how is it going, are you well, and 15 Α. 16 yadda, yadda, yadda, that kind of stuff. And, 17 you know, he said he was grabbing a bite to 18 And he said, "Come on up for a meal," and 19 I said, "Let me talk to Leonard." 20 ο. And then what was the response when you 21 indicated at the car that you didn't have the 22 ET there, that you had moved it to Wamego? Leonard said, "Oh, fine, that's good." And he 23 Α. also said -- I asked him, "Do you want to drive 24

up with me?" And he said, "No, we'll just come

1 up later." 2 0. Then what happened? I believe I drove off. 3 Α. 4 Q. Subsequently, then, on November the 4th, did 5 you wear a recording device during some 6 conversations with the Defendants at Wamego, 7 Kansas? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. The following day? 10 Α. Yes. And they were recorded as -- the conversations 11 Q. 12 were recorded during the course of that day? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MR. HOUGH: Judge, we would offer 15 exhibit -- well, I believe that the Defendants 16 have agreed to the admission of 97 and 98. 97 17 is approximately two hours long. Would it be 18 appropriate to start that in the morning and to 19 break for the day now, given that it's shortly 20 after 4 o'clock, Judge? 21 THE COURT: Yes, that's agreeable to the Court. 22 23 MR. HOUGH: Well, it's going to be 24 Monday because we're-- taking Fridays off. 25 THE COURT: It will be Monday. All

```
right. Rather than start a long tape, we'll
 1
            now adjourn until Monday at 9:30. We'll see
 2
            you then. Remember my admonitions. Mr.
 3
 4
            Bailiff.
                        (THEREUPON, the proceedings were
 5
 6
            adjourned ).
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.) ss: DISTRICT OF KANSAS 2 3 CERTIFICATE 4 I, KELLI STEWART, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Kansas, do 5 hereby certify that I was present at and 7 reported in machine shorthand the proceedings had the 30th day of January, 2003, in the 8 above-mentioned court; that the foregoing 9 10 transcript is a true, correct, and complete transcript of the requested proceedings. 11 12 I further certify that I am not attorney for, nor employed by, nor related to any of the 13 14 parties or attorneys in this action, nor 15 financially interested in the action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 16 my hand and official seal at Topeka, Kansas, 17 540 day of \_ 18 19 Usui Stewart 20 21 KELLI STEWART 22 Certified Shorthand Reporter 23 24 25